

PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP IN
THE AVONDALE COLLEGE CHURCH

TRAFFORD A. FISCHER

ANDREWS UNIVERSITY
AVONDALE CAMPUS

ABSTRACT

PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP IN THE
AVONDALE COLLEGE CHURCH

by

Trafford A. Fischer

Chairman: Alwyn P. Salom

85134

~~NOT FOR CIRCULATION~~

AVONDALE COLLEGE LIBRARY

ABSTRACT OF GRADUATE STUDENT RESEARCH

Project Report

Andrews University

School of Graduate Studies

Avondale Campus

Title: PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP IN THE
AVONDALE COLLEGE CHURCH

Name of researcher: Trafford A. Fischer

Name and degree of faculty advisor: Lyell V. Heise, M.Div.

Date Completed: November 1986

Problem

The passive and spectator-oriented worship of many who attend the church service is of great concern to worshippers and worship leaders who are aware of the importance of active participation. The purpose of this research is to assess the concepts and attitudes of a sample of Seventh-day Adventist worshippers in the Avondale College Church to participative worship and to achieve a positive modification of those concepts and attitudes.

Method

A study was given to the Biblical principles of worship in relation to participation and action. Further study considered selected findings of business management and educational psychology theory in regard to the development of positive attitudes.

Three church services, three seminars, and two surveys were planned and implemented over a four week period. The three services were designed to provide information to the congregation, and to demonstrate alternative styles of worship that facilitate congregational participation. The seminars were to provide further information to members of a Subject Group. The surveys provided information for assessing concepts and attitudes to participative worship, and to determine whether a change in understanding and attitude had been achieved.

Results

At the conclusion of the series, the members of the Subject Group gave evidence of achieving a clearer understanding of the meaning and purpose of participative worship. A favourable shift in attitude towards participation in the service was achieved in several areas.

Conclusions

Worship that is characterized by passivity and spectator-type attitudes can be changed and modified. An understanding of the principles of participative worship will provide a basis for the development of positive attitudes to worship, and help to facilitate an active, worship experience.

Andrews University
School of Graduate Studies
Avondale Campus

PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP IN THE
AVONDALE COLLEGE CHURCH

A Project Report
Presented in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Arts in Religion

by
Trafford A. Fischer
November 1986

PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP IN THE
AVONDALE COLLEGE CHURCH

A project report
presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree
Master of Arts in Religion

by

Trafford A. Fischer .

APPROVAL BY THE COMMITTEE:

Alwyn P. Salom
Alwyn P. Salom, Ph.D., Chairman

Lyell V. Heise
Lyell V. Heise, M.Div.

Kerry H. Hortop
Kerry H. Hortop, D.Min.

November 21, 1986

Date Approved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SCRIPTURE AND PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP	6
	Introduction	6
	Worship as Relationship to God	7
	God as the Object of Worship	7
	God as Being Worthy of Worship	8
	Worship is to God, of God	9
	Worship as Attitude and Action	10
	Worship as Attitude	10
	Worship as Action	12
	The Relationship between Attitude and Action	14
	Participative Worship.	17
	Worship as Investment	17
	Worship at a Price	18
	Worship as Giving	19
	Worship as Ownership	20
	Worship as Personal Response	22
	Conclusion	25
III.	PSYCHOLOGY AND PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP	
	Introduction	26
	Attitude Development	26
	Participation in Decision Processes	35
	Learning by Doing	40
	Conclusion	43
IV.	MINISTRY DESIGN	
	Introduction	44
	Objectives	46

V. IMPLEMENTATION

Introduction	50
Implementation of the Worship Series	51
The Participative Worship Surveys	51
The Services	53
The First Service	53
The Second Service	55
The Third Service	59
The Seminars	60
Seminar One	61
Seminar Two	62
Seminar Three	64
Reaction and Evaluation	65
The Surveys	65
Survey 1: Group A and Group B compared and contrasted	65
Group B and Subject Group compared and contrasted	68
The Services	77
The Seminars	79
Conclusion	80

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

.	83
-----------	----

APPENDICES	87
----------------------	----

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	162
---------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Ralph P. Martin claims that the greatest influence on the form of worship within non-established churches today has been sixteenth-century Puritanism. Among the several legacies of the Puritan tradition, two stand out as significant for this study. First, the sermon was made the climax and culmination of the service. This led inevitably to the devaluing of other aspects of worship. "Congregational participation was reduced to a minimum, especially to the ministry of hymns or psalms singing."¹ Secondly, while the priesthood of all believers was cherished in theory, a "Protestant clericalism developed, with the minister occupying a central and determinative role as leader and chief performer in the service. The preaching of one man in a raised pulpit took pride of place, and this arrangement reduced the worshippers to the level of an inert body of passive auditors."² These statements by Martin highlight an issue that has been at the forefront

¹Ralph P. Martin, The Worship of God: Some Theological, Pastoral and Practical Reflections (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1982), p. 7.

²Ibid.

of the liturgical renewal movement--that of church membership participation. In fact, Paul Hoon suggests, "Next to the concern for relevance, clergy today are probably more concerned for congregational participation in worship than anything else."¹ This interest is reflected in the number of recent writings dealing with the theme of worship that describe current congregations as "spectators," and worship as a "spectator-sport." Worship is seen by many as a passive event, where a small number speak, some listen, and very few act. But Edwards points out, spectator worship "ultimately becomes critical and contemptuous, cold, cynical, sterile, and unproductive. It obscures and criticizes others but never gets committed into life with Jesus Christ."²

The Seventh-day Adventist church is not exempt from this problem of non-participative worship. C. Raymond Holmes notes that the issue for the Adventist church "is not with liturgical error; it is with liturgical ambiguity. The liturgical statement we make to the world and to ourselves each Sabbath needs to be

¹Paul Waitman Hoon, The Integrity of Worship: Ecumenical and Pastoral Studies in Liturgical Theology (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1971), p. 293.

²Rex D. Edwards, A New Frontier: Every Believer A Minister (Mountain View, California: Pacific Press, 1979), p. 20.

clarified and sharpened."¹ There needs to be a certain liturgical unlearning or re-education to enable worshippers to appreciate the meaning of "acceptable worship,"² and to help them discover the significance of active involvement in this communication event. This project sets out to make "a fresh evaluation of what we intend to do when we participate in corporate worship."³

Chapter II attempts to establish a theology of worship. It highlights Scriptural principles that speak to worship as a communication event with God, and what it means to participate in this event. It shows that true worship is to be directed to God, and is therefore first of all a giving event rather than something done in order to receive a blessing. To participate is to invest in and to own this event, and then to seek some appropriate responsive action.

Chapter III discusses principles of management and educational psychology theory that stress the importance of participation in decision making and

¹C. Raymond Holmes, Sing A New Song: Worship Renewal For Adventists Today (Berrien Springs, Michigan: Andrews University, 1984), p. 12.

²Heb 12:28. All Scriptural references are from the Revised Standard Version, unless otherwise noted.

³Martin, p. 10.

learning processes for developing a sense of ownership. This sense of personal responsibility will be shown to be important for understanding participative worship, as it leads to the growth and development of positive attitudes towards personal involvement in the worship event.

Chapter IV provides an outline of the objectives of the Participative Worship Series conducted in the Avondale College Seventh-day Adventist Church. The Series included three church services, three Friday evening seminars, and two worship surveys. The services were designed to provide information about worship, as well as to demonstrate alternative styles of worship that have been devised to facilitate congregational participation. The seminars were educational in nature, designed to provide further information concerning participative worship. The surveys were administered on two different occasions. The first survey was completed by the entire congregation, and the second survey by the members of the Subject Group. The results of these surveys were analysed in order to discover any changes in concepts and attitudes concerning participative worship.

Chapter V describes the implementation of the Participative Worship Series, and provides an evaluation of the services, seminars, and surveys. Along with

other material pertinent to the project, survey results are included in the appendices.

CHAPTER II

SCRIPTURE AND PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP

Introduction

Robert E. Webber, in his book Worship: Old and New, suggests that many of the evangelical churches fail to understand the meaning of worship. Accordingly, he sees it as a matter of priority that study be given to "the biblical, historical, and theological sources of Christian Worship."¹ Bernard Schalm supports this view. He writes, "It is imperative that the church recover the theological significance of Christian worship if it is to become a revolutionary force in the life of the individual and society as a whole."²

This chapter examines one of the sources in Webber's trilogy--the biblical--outlining several principles of biblical worship. These are based on the premise that worship is a communication event with God, wherein God is honoured for who he is. A discussion of participative worship follows. This highlights the

¹Robert E. Webber, Worship: Old and New (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Zondervan, 1982), p. 193.

²Bernard Schalm, The Church at Worship (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Baker Book House, 1962), p. 10.

importance of appropriate attitude and action in the worship event.

Worship as Relationship to God

God as the Object of Worship

Scripture insists that God is to be the recipient of man's worship. "Worship God!" is the command of Revelation 19:10. "Extol the Lord our God, and worship at his holy mountain," is the call of Psalm 99:9. Even the angels are commissioned to worship God (Heb 1:6). Cornwall asserts that "Worship so focuses its communicated thoughts upon the Lord that there is no mistaking Who the recipient actually is."¹

Further, God is a 'jealous' God, and he alone is to be worshipped. The explicit command to the Israelite worshippers was "You shall have no other Gods before me" (Exod 20:3). David wrote, "All worshippers of images are put to shame, who make their boast in worthless idols; all gods bow down before him For thou, O Lord, art most high over all the earth; thou art exalted far above all gods" (Ps 97:7,9). The "God who made the world" is the "known" God who is to be proclaimed! (Acts 17:22-31).

¹Judson Cornwall, Elements of Worship (South Plainfield, New Jersey: Bridge Publishing, 1985), p. 152.

God as Being Worthy of Worship

Worship is to be directed to God because of a sense of his worth. This thought is present in the English word worship, a contraction of worthship, which in turn comes from the Anglo-Saxon word weorthscipe. It is concerned with the worthiness, dignity, or merit of a person. Hence, worship arises out of a sense of the worthiness of God (Rev 4:11; 5:9,12). For Cornwall, worship is the "adoration, veneration, exaltation, and magnification of God. It is when we respect, esteem, love, admire, and even dote on God that we are worshipping Him. Quite obviously, worship is totally concerned with the worthiness of God, not the worthiness of the worshipper."¹

Further, Christian worshippers will recognise the worthiness of God through his salvation-act in Jesus. Paul the apostle wrote,

Therefore God exalted him to the highest place and gave him the name that is above every name, that at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, in heaven and on earth and under the earth, and every tongue confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father (Phil 2:9-11).

It is through a salvation-relationship with Jesus that man enters into worship with God. Because of the death and resurrection of Jesus and his authority at the right hand of his Father, man is invited to come boldly into

¹Judson Cornwall, Let Us Worship (South Plainfield, New Jersey: Bridge Building, 1983), p. 50.

the presence of God (Heb 10:19). As John H. Tietjen says, "We worship because worship makes present God's past saving action in Christ."¹

Worship is to God, of God

Authentic worship will be worship that is to God of God. Not only will words and thoughts in worship be directed to God, but they will be of, or about, God. God, through his Son, is the object of worship and any reference to the worshipper will be incidental. This is evidenced in Revelation 5:9 where the song of the living creatures and the elders is totally concerned with Jesus: "Worthy art thou . . . thou wast slain . . . by thy blood thou didst redeem men for God" When the angels join this group, they also speak "of" God by saying, "Worthy is the Lamb who was slain, to receive power and wealth . . . " (Rev 5:11). The words are centred on Jesus Christ. Again, in Revelation 7, "Amen! Blessing and glory and wisdom and thanksgiving and honour and power and might be to our God for ever and ever! Amen" (Rev 7:12). In the Old Testament, Moses proclaimed in his song, "I will proclaim the name of the Lord. Ascribe greatness to our God! The Rock, his work is perfect; for all his ways are justice. A God of

¹John H. Tietjen, "Worship and the Life of the Church," Concordia Theological Monthly 43 (1972): 148.

faithfulness and without iniquity, just and right is he" (Deut 32:3,4), and David cries, "Ascribe power to God, whose majesty is over Israel, and his power is in the skies" (Ps 68:34). Worship glorifies God in everything that it says and does. At whatever point the expression or action begins to glorify the worshipper, it ceases to be authentic worship.

Worship as Attitude and Action

Worship as Attitude

Should there be a distinction in definition between worship and praise? Cornwall, in maintaining that praise is primarily gratitude to God for his acts, whereas worship is gratitude to God for who he is,¹ quotes Psalm 95:1,2. David writes, "O come, let us sing unto the Lord; let us make a joyful noise to the rock of our salvation! Let us come into his presence with thanksgiving; let us make a joyful noise to him with songs of praise!" This is a call to praise God, "For the Lord is a great God, and a great king above all gods" (Ps 95:3). God is praised for his acts as king. But it is only after this expression of praise that the Psalmist writes, "O come, let us worship and bow down, let us kneel before the Lord . . . " (Ps 95:6). The order is praise first, worship second. The same pattern

¹Cornwall, Let Us Worship, pp. 143-51.

is found in Psalm 96. David calls, "O sing to the Lord a new song; sing to the Lord, all the earth!

. . . Declare his glory among the nations, his marvellous works among all the peoples! For great is the Lord, and greatly to be praised . . ." (Ps 96:1,3,4).

After this invitation to praise, he writes, "Worship the Lord in holy array . . . all the earth!" (Ps 96:9).

In Psalm 107, the readers are exhorted three times to "thank [praise] the Lord for his steadfast love, for his wonderful works to the children of men"

(Ps 107:8,21,31). Cornwall continues:

While worship may be dependant on praise, praise is not a substitute for worship. . . . Prayer is usually understood as being concerned with our "needs," praise is concerned with our "blessings," while worship is concerned with God Himself! . . . Praise is a positive response Godward, based far more upon His deeds than on His person. Repeatedly the Psalmists urge us to praise the Lord for the "things" He has "done." . . . Praise tends to be more concerned with God's "presents" than with God's "presence". . . . While the injunction to praise is often followed by the word "for," the command to worship points to a Person. "Worship God;" "worship the Lord;" and "worship Jesus" are all scriptural commands. . . . While the energy of praise is toward what God does, the energy of worship is toward who God is. The first is concerned with God's performance, while the second is occupied with God's personage. . . . Praise is the vehicle of expression that brings us into God's presence, but worship is what we do once we gain entrance to that presence.¹

Some may consider Cornwall's distinction is too finely drawn. Notice, for instance, that in Hebrews

¹Ibid.

13:15, the author writes, "let us continually offer up a sacrifice of praise to God, that is, the fruit of lips that acknowledge his name." Here "name" is best understood as a reference to God's nature, or character. In Revelation 15:4, John declares that "All nations shall come and worship thee, for thy judgements have been revealed," indicating that worship is due to God for, (because of) his works of judgement. The value of Cornwall's emphasis is that it rightly highlights the true heart of worship. God is the recipient of man's worship. Man is to enter into worship in an attitude of humility and reverence. Cornwall is concerned that the risk in praising God for his acts lies in the worshipper moving into the centre. He, the receiver of all these gifts from God, and maybe even the gifts themselves, now receive attention rather than God! Worship, however, is to be solely directed to God. Any undue emphasis on the worshipper endangers authentic worship.

Worship as Action

That worship is an action of man springing from an appropriate recognition of the character of God, is indicated by the sense of the words used in scripture to describe the worship event. One Hebrew word constantly used in the Old Testament for the worship of God is shāchah. It occurs 172 times, and is frequently translated "worship." But it is also translated as: to

bow down, make obeisance, do reverence, fall down, prostrate, stoop, crouch, and beseech humbly. In Genesis 18, Abraham reverently prostrates himself before the three angelic beings. This is called worship (shāchah). Later, Abraham's servant, Eleazar, finds a wife for Isaac, and "he worshipped (shāchah) the Lord bowing himself to the earth" (Gen 24:52). In Nehemiah 8:6, it is recorded that "all the people answered, 'Amen, Amen,' lifting up their hands; and they bowed their heads and worshipped" (shāchah).

In the New Testament, three separate words are used to denote worship. The Greek word latreuō, used four times, means to worship publicly, to serve, or to render religious service.¹ The second word, sebomai, occurring eight times, comes from the root word sebas, and is translated "Godfearers," "worshippers of God."² The third and most common term used in the New Testament, occurring at least fifty-nine times, is proskuneō, literally meaning "to kiss towards." Wilbur Gingrich lists the meanings of proskuneō as "fall down and worship, do obeisance to, prostrate oneself before, do reverence to, welcome respectfully depending

¹See Phil 3:3; 2 Tim 1:3; Heb 9:9; 10:2.

²See Matt 5:9; Mark 7:7; Acts 18:13; 19:27.

on the object."¹ Arndt and Gingrich state that the word is used to designate the custom of prostrating oneself before a person and kissing his feet, the hem of his garment, or the ground. It is used of Jesus, who is revered and worshipped as Messianic King and Divine Helper (Matt 2:2,8,11).²

Thus, worship is an attitude expressed in action. It is a recognition of the presence of one who is greater than the worshipper, and an action of response is carried out as an expression of gratitude in reverence and awe (Heb 12:28).

The Relationship between Attitude and Action

It has now been shown that worship is a time when man honours God, ascribing to God the attributes of God, and further, that such worship both contains and generates an action in response. The important issue in this study is that of the worshipper's participation, or active involvement. In what sense is worship "active?" In what ways do worshippers "participate?" C. F. D. Moule indicates that this issue has been an ever-present concern. "The whole history of worship may be written

¹F. Wilbur Gingrich, Shorter Lexicon of the Greek New Testament (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1957), p. 186.

²William F. Arndt and F. Wilbur Gingrich, A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature (Chicago, Illinois: The University of Chicago Press, 1957), pp. 723-24.

round the fascinating and difficult question of the relation between the outward and the inward."¹

Cornwell clarifies the issue--true worship is a response to a Person, not a religious performance. Worship will not necessarily be enhanced by an increase in the degree of activity or physical exertion. In fact, this time of response to God may well be the quietest time of the service, as people contemplate the love and grandeur of God.

Praise is often physically demonstrative with great action, while deep worship is far more likely to be physically submissive than physically active. We might say that praise tends to be emotional while worship is devotional, and that praise is often loudly exuberant,² while worship is more apt to be quietly exultant.

Any call on the congregation to be physically active merely for the sake of activity, may well spoil the worship event. Segler conveys a similar message when he writes that man may be degraded in his worship "by the subtle deceit of substituting the outward act for personal communion"³ A further pertinent insight into the risk of misunderstood action in worship is made by John Ogasapian. In writing of the liturgy of

¹C. F. D. Moule, Worship in the New Testament (London: Lutterworth Press, 1961), p. 12.

²Cornwall, p. 2.

³Franklin M. Segler, Christian Worship: Its Shape and Practise (Nashville, Tennessee: Broadman Press, 1967), p. 78.

the Eastern Orthodox Church, often considered as traditional in style with a non-participating congregation, Ogasapian states,

Yet the Orthodox seem never to have realised that they weren't participating. They do not consider that the spiritual experience of being in the presence of that which is Holy is inadequate or incomplete unless one is responding at the top of his or her lungs, clapping, making "creative movements," holding hands in a circle, or crooning quasi-sacred texts to pop-style tunes with guitar accompaniment. Is this a serious liturgical lapse on the part of the eastern church? Or might they still remember something that the western church has not long since forgotten? Unless I am wrong--and I do not think I am--attendance by Roman Catholics at Mass plummeted coincidentally with the great move, in the 60's, to active congregational "participation."

. . . I do not advocate congregations as "audiences" in 'any' rite of the church. But I 'do' advocate a wider latitude in the defining of what it is that will constitute "participation." There is, to be specific, a strong need in all of us frequently, to withdraw from the corporate worship even as we are among our brother and sister Christians, into an inner and personal communion with God, or into a personal introspection during a sermon or scripture reading. Is such a state of mind and spirit 'non'-participatory in worship? Cannot participation be inward? And at such times, is it not better for the worshipper to remain undisturbed in communion and meditation, rather than being exhorted or harrassed into some religious calisthentic or another, the net effect of which--symbolically fitting though the gesture may be--is to disturb that contemplation of the Divine? I suspect so. In fact, I would wager that more people have left regular attendance to escape being constantly badgered to "participate" than have stopped going because of insufficient opportunity for such overt and active "participation."¹

¹ John Ogasapian, "Music Programs and Music Ministries," Journal of Church Music, 26 (1984): 31-32.

Generally speaking, the worship services in the Adventist Church have been free from the overt activities alluded to in the above passages. The emphasis on activity has largely gone unnoticed, or been ignored. However, this very fact has meant that Adventist congregational worship patterns are still so often characterized by rigidity in order and sameness in style. The members of the congregation still see worship as a spectator-event! A clearer understanding of what participative worship means, and its subsequent impact on corporate (and individual) worship, is urgently needed.

Participative Worship

Participative worship may best be understood as an attitude of mind wherein the worshippers invest themselves in worship, and personally own the worship-event. Further, participative worship will also be an action through some kind of personal response, and will be most clearly demonstrated when the worshipper involves himself in the elements of worship, such as the singing, prayer, reading of scripture, offering, and preaching.

Worship as Investment

Dynamic worship will demand an investment by worshippers. Scripture alludes to this fact in two ways. First, there is a certain price to pay in

worship. Secondly, worship is a giving event before it is a receiving event.

Worship at a Price

An incident in the life of David provides an illustration of the first of these principles. David sinned by numbering the people of God. God withdrew his blessing and replaced it with severe punishment that was destroying people throughout the land. When God responded to David's repentance, David was commanded to offer sacrifices in a specific manner and place. However, the chosen place was already in use by its owner, Ornan, who was threshing wheat. While David and Ornan were discussing its purchase, Ornan was given a view of the destroying angel of the Lord standing by. Ornan immediately offered to give the threshing floor, as well as the oxen and the wood, to David. But David refused to accept the offer, recognizing that this would have been Ornan's sacrifice, not his! David responded: "No, but I will buy it of you for a price; I will not offer burnt offerings to the Lord my God that cost me nothing" (2 Sam 24:24).

A similar attitude to the worship of God was demonstrated by Abraham, who was prepared to pay the price of losing his only son in an expression of love and obedience to God as an act of worship (Gen 22:5). Worship must proceed out of the lives of the

worshippers. It is their event. The temptation merely to attend worship is to be avoided by serious worshippers. Participative worship begins, and is maintained, at the cost of much thought, feeling, prayer, and involvement. It will be a time of personal energy and effort expended in offering God adoration and honour. As Cornwall comments,

Empty hearts, prayerless spirits, tired bodies, undisciplined minds, unopened Bibles, and careless attitudes have ruined far more worship services than all the demonic activity of hell. . . . We are our own greatest enemy when we seek to worship without having to pay a price.¹

Ralph P. Martin agrees with Cornwall. He believes there is a need for sacrifice in worship.

Worship implies a human response in terms of giving to God. The theological code word for man's offering to God is sacrifice. The worshipper is not a passive, motionless recipient, but an active participant, called upon to "make an offering."²

Worship as Giving

It is imperative that participative worship be seen as first of all a time of giving rather than getting. David makes it clear that the gift (offering, sacrifice) that God desires is a "broken spirit," a "contrite heart" (Ps 51:16,17). As already stated, genuine worship arises out of this attitude of humility and reverence. When this attitude is combined with a spirit of praise, the worshipper will say with David,

¹Cornwall, p. 132.

²Martin, p. 6.

"It is good to give thanks to the Lord." (Ps 92:1).

The biblical emphasis is one of bringing and giving to God personal adoration and gratitude, not seeking some undefined blessings. Dunlop addresses these unrealistic expectations:

People have got it into their heads that the business of church-going is largely confined to the receiving of impressions, to being edified, touched, moved, stimulated. They have not been told that they have come to work, and contribute their understanding and their devotion in a positive, active way. Not having been told this they "get it all wrong" and criticize the¹ liturgy for not doing what it never set out to do.

Segler concurs by saying that when worshippers "try to worship for the sake of certain benefits that may be received, the act ceases to be worship; for then it attempts to use God as a means to something else."² The words of Jesus, "Give and it shall be given unto you . . ." (Luke 6:38) can be taken as a fitting statement of the intent of participative worship. The failure to "get something out of worship" may well be a reflection on the worshipper's whole approach to the worship event.

Worship as Ownership

The fact that worship is a communication event with God suggests the need for personal responsibility for the event. Any expression of worship that is made

¹Collin Dunlop, Anglican Public Worship (London: SCM Press, 1953), p. 17.

²Segler, p. 4.

by another in the service, such as an item, prayer, or sermon, needs to be owned by each worshipper for true worship to take place. Each participant in any time of worship needs to identify with the expression of worship and make it his own. Any identification in worship needs to be a personal owning of the expressions and thoughts of the one speaking, singing, or praying. Worship is not something observed. It is not some event that floats past the intending worshipper. Paul Hoon states, "Worship understood as something done rather than as something watched, as dialogue between the people and God . . . this is vital and this is what is frequently missing."¹

J. Robert Spangler conveys the same concern when he writes that "more and more the members are becoming spectators. The church is rapidly becoming a theatre in which the performers do their part."² Worship by identification is an impossibility if identification is simply observing or listening to some other worshipper's expression of love to God. That expression must be personalised by each genuine worshipper.

¹Hoon, pp. 39,40.

²J. Robert Spangler, "Spectator or Participator," Ministry, November 1980, p. 23.

Worship as Personal Response

Allen and Borrer, in their book Worship: Rediscovering the Missing Jewel, observe that "Worship is not passive, but it is participative. Worship is not simply a mood; it is a response. Worship is not just a feeling; it is a declaration. . . . Worship means to respond to God. If we fail to respond, worship has probably not occurred."¹ Scripture describes a wide variety of worship-responses by individuals and large groups in both the Old and New Testaments. Mary stoops to wash Jesus' feet (Luke 7:38); Noah builds an altar (Gen 8:20,21); and Jacob anoints a stone (Gen 28:18). At the dedication of Solomon's Temple, it is recorded that "When all the Children of Israel saw the fire come down and the glory of the Lord upon the temple, they bowed down with their faces to the earth on the pavement, and worshipped and gave thanks to the Lord . . ." (2 Chron 7:3). Another worship event clearly demonstrating a vibrant and active response is the Feast of Booths. Involvement and participation was central to the activities of this ceremony. Rylaarsdam describes the festivities, including the collection of twigs and myrtle, willow and palm, which were to be bound into a

¹Ronald Allen and Gordon Borrer, Worship: Rediscovering the Missing Jewel (Portland, Oregon: Multnomah Press, 1982), pp. 16,39.

festal plume. Called a Wlab, a symbol of rejoicing, this plume was carried ceremonially during the daily singing of the Hallel (Pss 113-118). The people also sang in antiphonal response to the priests during the water libation ceremony. Most spectacular was the night-ceremony, designed to give expression to the rejoicing of the feast. Four huge menorahs fitted out with wicks illuminated the entire temple area. In their light the celebrants danced a torch dance to the accompaniment of flutes, while the Levites chanted the Psalms of ascent (Pss 120-134). This ceremony lasted most of the night for each of the seven days.¹

Ellen White's comments on this feast are informative when she states that the worshippers celebrated this occasion with song and thanksgiving.

The temple was the centre of the universal joy. Here was the pomp of the sacrificial ceremonies. . . . The multitude of worshippers, waving their branches in singing. . . . The music, the waving of palm branches, the glad hozannas, the great concourse of people, over whom the light streamed from the of palm and myrtle, joined with the priests hanging lamps, the array of the priests, and the majesty of the ceremonies, combined² to make a scene that deeply impressed the beholders.

¹J. C. Rylaarsdam, "Booths, Feast of," The Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible, ed. G. A. Buttrick (Nashville, Tennessee: Abingdon Press, 1962), 1:456.

²Ellen G. White, The Desire of Ages (Mountain View, California: Pacific Press, 1940), p. 448.

This joy and vibrancy is vital to authentic worship. "In short," writes Hoon, "we now understand 'lethargy and liturgy' to be a contradiction in terms."¹ The Old Testament record of the crossing of the Red sea concludes with the fact that Miriam took a tambourine and led the Israelite women in singing and dancing (Exod 15:20). David "danced before the Lord with all his might while he and the entire house of Israel brought up the ark of the Lord with shouts and the sound of trumpets" (2 Sam 6:14-15). John the Revelator describes the activity around the throne of God, and notes that the heavenly beings (or the redeemed) carry palm branches in their hands, cry out with loud voices, and fall down on their faces (Rev 7:9-12). This is not a hushed or static worship service! God's redeemed rejoice and celebrate with their King!

Obviously, a personal response will be directed by a variety of influences. Significant factors affecting any kind of worship response will include the style and format of the worship service; the design and facilities of the meeting-place; the personality of the worshippers, and the cultural norms and expectations of the worshipping community.

¹Hoon, p. 293.

Conclusion

The biblical record reveals that God has spoken (Heb 1:1-3), and that man's appropriate response is to worship him. This worship will be an act of love by man in response to the love of God. The worship event will be seen as a vital communication-event wherein man recognizes the greatness and worth of God and accordingly ascribes to him gratitude and honour. The genuine worshipper will invest himself in this event. He will set out to own it. Further, he will actively respond in some appropriate way, recognizing that his act of response will be intimately connected to his attitude to the event. He will worship in the knowledge that his attitude and act may not necessarily be successive but simultaneous and interdependant. Under the leading of the Spirit, he will seek to worship Jesus in a creative and active way: "they are the kind of worshippers the Father seeks" (John 4:23 NIV).

CHAPTER III

PSYCHOLOGY AND PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP

Introduction

This chapter reviews selected material from research in psychology and education that has particular reference to participative worship. Three main areas are considered: first, attitudes, and the fact that attitudes can be changed; secondly, the significance of participation and involvement for building a sense of ownership; and thirdly, the importance of learning by doing. Ideas and principles from selected writings in each area are outlined, and then the implications for participative worship are noted.

Attitude Development

Krech, Crutchfield, and Livson state that "the concept of attitude is of sovereign importance. Our attitudes shape our perceptions and judgements; they influence what we learn and remember; they help to govern our political, economic, religious, and other social actions."¹ An attitude is defined as "a

¹David Krech, Richard S. Crutchfield, and Norman Livson, Elements of Psychology, 2d ed. (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1969), p. 810.

complex organization of evaluative beliefs, emotional feelings, and action orientations focused on an object, predisposing the individual to respond to the object in certain ways."¹

Several important principles pertinent to worship arise out of these statements. The first is that attitudes obviously have a significant bearing on an activity such as worship. The worshipper will possess attitudes to such things as the church itself; to other worshippers, both as a corporate group and as individuals; to the forms and ceremonies in the service; and significantly, to the object of worship -- God. As stated in the given definition, these attitudes are a collection of evaluative beliefs, emotional feelings, and action orientations. A worshipper's beliefs concerning God will have significant implications for the development of attitudes that will be expressed towards God, which in turn will bear on the style and form of the worship event. If a worshipper, for example, sees God as a harsh and exacting God, worship may be offered in fear, or in a placatory manner. Worship for such a person may well be rigid in expression and very unsatisfactory. On the other hand, a belief that God is love will generate an attitude to worship expressed in celebration and joy!

¹Ibid., p. 813.

Further, attitudes involve feelings, which are an integral part of being. Worship will not be a totally objective, cognitive event if it is a time of authentic communication with God. Anne Ortlund describes how she became aware of the significance of both "laughter and tears" in worship. She writes,

Every conference we speak at must have laughter and tears. Every speaking date, every worship service. At least we can aim for it, have it in mind, ask God for it. A happening--a real, to-be-remembered occasion--needs truth (doctrine--thoughtful, nitty-gritty substance), but it also needs to be clothed in laughter and tears.¹

Not only do attitudes involve what people think about, and feel about, but also, as Triandis states, "how they would 'like to behave' toward an attitude object."² Given the opportunity, attitudes will be expressed in some manner, and this is no less true for the worship event. However, as Triandis makes clear, behaviour "is not only determined by what people 'would like' to do but also by what they think they should do, that is, 'social norms,' by what they have usually done, that is, 'habits,' and by the 'expected consequences of the behaviour.'"³ There is an obvious

¹Anne Ortlund, Up With Worship: How to Quit Playing Church (Ventura, California: Regal Books, 1982), pp. 121-22.

²Harry C. Triandis, Attitude and Attitude Change (New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1971), p. 14.

³Ibid.

relation between attitude and behaviour. The behaviour in the worship event will therefore be, in some degree, a reflection of the worshipper's attitudes. His beliefs about what is expected in worship, his usual habits of worship, and his perceptions of the possible results of his actions, will all have some bearing on the degree to which he behaves, or acts, in the time of worship. If, for example, a person believes that those with whom he worships understand worship to be a serious, quiet, passive event; and if he habitually worships in this manner himself; and further, if he believes that a change in the way he usually worships will be misunderstood by others, then his behaviour in worship will inevitably remain the same. Any invitation to take part in a worship period that is characterized by loud music and much hand-clapping would probably be refused.

Krech, Crutchfield and Livson suggest that among the many determinants of the formation of attitudes, two are all important: the group affiliations of the individual and the personality of the individual.¹ The attitudes of the group in which an individual holds membership, or to which he aspires to belong, will help to shape his attitudes. The worshipper, for instance, may well reflect the attitudes of the worshipping

¹Krech, Crutchfield, and Livson, p. 813.

community to which he belongs, especially if he feels he is an intimate member of the group, and if he feels that he must protect the identity and the image of the group. For example, if the community of worshippers give evidence of a positive attitude to hand-clapping during the worship service, it may well be that an individual worshipper will accept this action as suitable.

However, this will be by no means the case in every situation. A worshipping community is made up of many personality types who will choose to express their gratitude to God in a variety of ways. A worshipper who is generally considered to be enthusiastic and outgoing may act in the time of worship in a different manner to the person who is considered to be quiet and introverted. A worship leader must take this point seriously. Any request to act out an expression of gratitude or praise may be received, or perhaps acted on, in different ways. Each should be considered acceptable if appropriate to the personality of the individual, and to the congregation as a whole.

Attitudes can be changed. An "attitude change comes about through receiving new information about the object that induces a change in the evaluative belief component. The change in the belief component, in turn, tends to bring about corresponding changes in the

feeling and action-orientation components."¹ The individual may receive new information by reading or listening to persuasive communications, observing the behaviour of others, talking with other people, or by undergoing new, direct experiences with an object. Through any of these channels, he may gain new information that may change his beliefs about an object or person.

An attitude will be resistant to change in direct proportion to the number and importance of the personality functions that it serves. The more important the functions an attitude serves, the more extreme it becomes and the more resistant to change. This is especially true for attitudes that are self-protective. The authors note that "If an individual uses an attitude to externalize unacceptable inner impulses or to ally his anxiety, he will not readily give it up."² Ausubel and Robinson make the same point:

A strong need to reduce conflict between ideas--operating either as a generalized personality trait or as an aspect of cognitive style, or more specifically in relation to a particular set of strongly-held beliefs--may lead to a closed-minded attitude that obviously impairs ability to learn new ideas contrary to existing beliefs. A person who summarily dismisses new ideas on this basis fails to learn them adequately because he may not even be willing to read or listen to them, because he makes little or no effort to reconcile them with existing

¹Ibid., p. 816.

²Ibid., p. 823.

beliefs, or because he selectively misunderstands, distorts, discounts, or reverses their implications in accordance with his own bias. It is clear, then that the affective component of attitude leads to particular positive or negative motivations with respect to learning and that these motivational effects influence initial learning either positively or negatively.¹

Basic to this project is the belief that attitudes can change. In some instances it may be very difficult to achieve attitude changes. Some individuals will choose to maintain their attitudes regardless of new information, or other positive influences. This will be especially true for those who see the new information as a threat, a heretical practice, or a possible cause for conflict.

In the setting of participative worship, this resistance to change could be demonstrated when worship innovations are introduced in a community of conservative worshippers. The introduction of change in a congregation wedded to tradition would call for sensitivity and understanding.

Lahey and Johnson write from the perspective of educational psychology, with particular emphasis on learning methods. Attitudes, they contend, are learned in a different manner than information, skills, and strategies. In isolation, verbal statements of what the

¹David P. Ausubel and Floyd G. Robinson, School Learning: An Introduction to Educational Psychology (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1969), p. 370.

student is to learn are usually unsuccessful in teaching attitudes. Verbal appeals to "conserve energy," "be tolerant of others," or "stay away from drugs" generally produce poor results.¹ Other conditions appear to be more critical in the learning of attitudes. One such condition is the success students achieve in an activity or in their interactions with other people. A person who succeeds in some activity is likely to have a positive attitude to that activity.

The other critical condition influencing attitude learning concerns the presence of models. According to Lahey and Johnson, "We learn attitudes indirectly by observing the behavior of people who are important to us. Parents, teachers, peers, and public figures can exert a tremendous influence simply by acting in accordance with the attitudes they want to teach."² As has already been noted, a positive attitude to worship is essential for a meaningful worship experience. Further, a positive attitude to participation in the worship event will also allow the worshipper to express his gratitude to God in a more active manner. Such positive attitudes will arise out

¹Benjamin B. Lahey and Martha S. Johnson, Psychology and Instruction: A Practical Approach to Educational Psychology (Glenview, Illinois: Scott, Foresman and Company, 1978), p. 95.

²Ibid.

of an experience that is considered "successful." While it may be difficult to define a successful worship experience, the fact remains that the worshipper must see the experience as successful. Whether others share his assessment or not may be of no concern. A successful experience will offer a greater chance for the development of positive attitudes to that event. Positive attitude development should be the goal in each worship service for each worshipper.

Attitudes, both positive and negative, that are modelled to others will have a distinct bearing on the development of attitudes in the observers. The implications for the worship setting are obvious, particularly for young people. If youth observe church leaders, parents, teachers, and significant others evidencing attitudes of boredom, disinterest, and a sense of meaninglessness, the result may well be a generation of youth with similar attitudes toward the worship event. However, if the opposite is true, and there are evidences of enjoyment, excitement, with energetic participation and involvement, the young observers will gain a different view! As Segler notes, "Not only does man come to worship with certain attitudes, but worship is also a way of changing the basic attitude so that the thing experienced becomes

different and richer in quality. Worship is the chief religious way in which men seek the transformation of attitude"1

Participation in Decision Processes

The principle of involving people in decision making processes in order to create a greater sense of "ownership," has been well demonstrated and documented. Johnson and Johnson contend that there are at least three important reasons for involving all group members in decision-making: 1) to improve the quality of the decision; 2) to increase the member's allegiance to the group; and 3) to increase the commitment of group members to the implementing of the decision.² They further suggest that with more group members participating in the making of a decision, that decision will be correspondingly more effective.³ High involvement in decision making increases the use of the member's resources. This in turn increases the quality of the decision.

¹Segler, p. 87.

²David W. Johnson and Frank P. Johnson, Joining Together: Group Therapy and Group Skills, 2d ed. (Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1982), p. 121.

³Ibid.

Johnson and Johnson make reference to a famous research study of the 1940s.¹ The workers in a clothing factory were resisting management-initiated changes in work activities by quitting their jobs, lowering their level of production, and expressing verbal hostility toward the plant and toward their co-workers. Three different styles of management were used in an attempt to bring about desired changes. One group of workers was simply told about the planned changes in their jobs and what was expected of them: they did not participate in the decision-making. The second group appointed representatives from among themselves to meet with management to consider problems involved in changing work methods. All members of the third group met with management, participated actively in discussions, shared many suggestions, and helped plan the most efficient methods for mastering the new jobs. The differences in outcome were dramatic. Average production in the non-participating group dropped twenty percent immediately and did not regain the pre-change level. Nine percent of the group quit. Morale fell sharply, evidenced by marked hostility toward the supervisor, slow downs, and complaints to the union. The group that participated through representatives required two

¹Ibid., p. 122.

weeks to recover its pre-change output. The worker's attitudes were cooperative, and none of the members quit their jobs. The consequences in the total participation group were even more positive. Members of this group regained their pre-change output after only two days, and then climbed steadily until they reached a level of fourteen percent above the earlier average. No one quit, all members of the group worked with their supervisors, and there were no signs of aggression.¹

Harry C. Triandis refers to studies carried out by Lewin (1947)² in which group discussion was compared with individual instruction. In one of the studies, the objective was to increase the consumption of selected food items during World War II. Each group participated for forty-five minutes in either a lecture, or a group discussion. The information and recipes offered to the lecture groups were also given to the discussion groups. A follow-up showed that only three percent of the women in the lecture groups served one of the nominated foods, whereas thirty-two percent of the women in the group discussion served them. Triandis points out that there are a number of factors that may account for this difference:

First, in the lecture procedure the audience is passive, in the group discussion it is active, and can become more involved. Second, in the lecture

¹Ibid., p. 122.

²Triandis, p. 91.

the audience does not commit itself to doing anything. In the discussion group there is likely to be some commitment. Third, the lecture reaches the individual via the cognitive component of his attitudes, but the group discussion reaches him both through the cognitive and the behavioural ¹

This principle of involvement in operational functions is also referred to by Thomas Gordon, who writes, "Research findings . . . consistently validate the 'principle of participation'--i.e., the group members more readily accept new ideas and new work methods when they are given the opportunity to participate in making the decision to change and to participate in deciding how to implement the change."² David Cohen agrees. He details the characteristic learning procedures of "progressive education," as follows:

In the progressive classroom, students participate in decision-making, and so they become committed to their educational goals, and to the broad developmental directions and activities. . . . Progressive education seeks to involve learners, teachers and community in decisions about educational priorities--objectives, content, methods, evaluation. This is based upon the knowledge that commitment arises from participation in decision making, and that the "quality" of "what" is produced, will be enhanced by³ "involvement" in deciding what is to be produced.

¹Ibid., p. 89.

²Thomas Gordon, Leader Effectiveness Training (Camberwell, London: Futura Publishing, 1977), p. 4.

³David Cohen, Feeling Free to Learn: Progressive Education (Sydney: Curriculum Resources International, 1977), pp. 5,9.

Triandis offers a key word, "investment," in his discussion on participation in decision making.

The finding that participation in the development of a solution to a problem makes the person more favourable toward the solution is consistent with derivations from dissonance theory. The person who participates has "an investment" in the decision; he has expended effort to reach the decision and he is likely to modify whatever cognitive elements are incompatible with the decision, in order to bring them into line with the new solution. In short, participation leads to active,¹ cognitive work that is likely to change attitudes.

Investment speaks of effort and commitment, and individual ownership.

These conclusions on decision-making have obvious connections with participative worship. A committed and involved approach to the worship event will give rise to a greater sense of ownership of the experience. As worshippers participate in the corporate worship event, there will be a growing awareness of their need to own their time of communication with God. There will be a recognition of the value and worth of the experience, and a corresponding desire to invest effort and energy into the worship event. The research could indicate that there will be a greater sense of allegiance to the event, and an increase in the degree of commitment, with a corresponding rise in enjoyment.

¹Triandis, p. 91.

Learning by Doing

In their book Educational Psychology, Belkin and Grey state that "The learner gains from being an active rather than a passive listener or viewer. Considerable activity involves the learner's 'learning by doing' rather than passively receiving information" ¹ Anderson and Faust agree: "One of the most important principles . . . is that 'students learn by doing.'" ² They propose three levels of active responses.

At the first level, the student is required to read, listen, or watch. At the second level he is required to make a particular covert response. At the third level, he is required to make a particular overt response. At the first level, a student who reads silently is making active responses. When active responding stops, for any practical purposes, reading ceases. They state:

Most people have had the experience of beginning to read a book and of finding that they have turned several pages without the slightest idea of what has passed before their eyes. This phenomenon occurs when active engagement with the text stops. Similarly, listening and watching entail active responding. If the student is not actively engaged with what a speaker is saying, then he is not really listening. ³

¹Gary S. Belkin and Jerry L. Gray, Educational Psychology: An Introduction (Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown, 1977), p. 322.

²Richard C. Anderson and Gerald W. Faust, Educational Psychology: The Science of Instruction and Learning (New York: Harper and Row, 1973), p. 200.

³Ibid.

At the next level, the covert response is one which is quite definite and structured. For example, the student may be required to answer a question or solve a problem. This will not be publicly observable, i.e., the student is asked to "think" the answer rather than write it down. Significantly, the evidence indicates that "requiring particular covert responses within a lesson increases learning."¹ Even more significant, however, is the fact that there is a further increase in the level of learning when students respond at the third level--the overt response. An overt response is one that is publicly observable, or at least leaves a publicly observable record. Just as there is evidence that active recitation, as compared with reading, increases recall, so there is evidence that overt responses increase learning to a greater extent than covert responses. Anderson and Faust conclude:

The fact that requiring the student to make particular covert responses improves upon simple reading, watching, or listening, as well as the fact that overt responses are, in turn, superior to covert responses may suggest that the causative factor is 'degree of activity.' The more active the response that the student makes, the more he apparently learns.²

While the above statements speak specifically to learning procedures, it can be concluded that activity

¹Ibid.

²Ibid., p. 208.

in worship is going to have a bearing on the significance of the event. It suggests that the greater the degree of activity, the greater the degree of learning. The more an individual invests himself in the experience, the more valuable the occasion.

There are degrees in levels of activity. The occasion will in some way specify what degree of activity will be most suitable. The analogy of the school points out that there will be times for the students to read quietly to themselves; there will be times for "thinking" through problems and writing down the answers; and there will be times when it will be best to stand and recite, act, play, demonstrate, i.e., make an observable declaration of an experience or discovery.

The worship event will offer similar occasions for worship-activity. There will be times when the worshippers will participate in a way that, though "active," will not be observable to other worshippers. This may be a quiet time of communication with God, yet not in any way to be regarded as a passive experience. The worshippers will be actively engaged in their gift of worship to God. Secondly, there may be occasions in the worship service where worshippers will need to covertly respond. Again, these actions may not be necessarily discerned by others around them. However, there must be times when the worshippers are provided

with opportunities for making overt responses-- responses that demand some action which is publicly observable. These will be events, according to the above, which will make the greatest impact on the participants. The worship service needs to provide occasions for the participants to demonstrate their love for God in an observable manner; there must be more opportunities for active participation.

Conclusion

These principles have an important bearing upon the experience of corporate worship. They should be understood by both worshippers and worship leaders.

First, worshippers must come to the service with a positive attitude to the event, and a positive attitude to active participation in the experience.

Secondly, worshippers need to recognise that attitudes can and will change as they are receptive to new information, and are prepared to involve themselves in the new experiences.

Thirdly, participation through investment and ownership will enhance the worship experience, and help guard the worshipper from falling into the inappropriate role of passive spectator-observer.

Fourthly, worship by doing will be seen to be characteristic of genuine worshippers as they set out to act on their worship experiences in an observable response.

CHAPTER IV

MINISTRY DESIGN

Introduction

The subject of worship is broad and diverse. Many areas could be given special study under the heading of worship. Several factors led to the study of participative worship for this project.

The first was the recognition of a change in tone and atmosphere which occurred in a worship service at a city-wide youth rally in Sydney, 1984. An alternative program was followed which departed from the traditional order of service, i.e., welcome, first hymn, prayer, offering, scripture reading, second hymn, sermon, third hymn, benediction. Special attention had been given to the planning of this service, with emphasis on the linking of the components, the use of background music, and carefully planned verbal introductions to each segment. Observers noted better atmosphere and tone, improved attention to the speaker, and increased involvement in the singing. Their observations, reported to the writer at the conclusion of the service, were repeated, and confirmed, at further rallies during 1984 and 1985.

Secondly, visitation at a wide variety of Adventist churches in Sydney led the writer to the conclusion that a large percentage of the church membership lacked a correct understanding of the significance of worship. Congregations seemed unaware of ways to facilitate genuine praise and celebration.

Thirdly, a literature survey at the commencement of this study uncovered a significant number of writings that spoke of the problem of passive, spectator-type worship. Both clergy and laymen wrote of the lack of involvement by the members of the congregation, and of the resulting frustration because of this passivity.

The writer decided therefore to pursue a study of active, or participative worship, and to assess any differences and benefits that may become apparent when comparing it with the traditional passive, non-participative church service program. Following discussion with Avondale College Theology Department personnel, it was decided that the project could be carried out in the Avondale College church during the mid-year break. The majority of students would be away, and the attendance at the services would therefore be more representative of a typical church in the South Pacific Division.

Objectives

The objectives for the project were:

1. To discover the Biblical meaning of worship, and to develop a working definition of participative worship

A study of participative worship must commence with an understanding of worship as it is expressed in Scripture. Only when worship itself is clearly understood will participation in worship be active and dynamic.

2. To provide information about biblical worship to the members of the Avondale College Church in order to facilitate a greater understanding of church worship, and to promote a greater interest in discovering the meaning of participative worship

Misunderstandings about the meaning of worship exist in the minds of many. Hence, it was decided that part of the project should therefore include information about worship in the form of a Sabbath morning sermon. This sermon would be the first unit of the project, and would provide a basis for the rest of the participative worship series.

3. To provide an effective environment and atmosphere for genuine praise and worship to God, and to provide specific times for corporate, participative worship

In addition to the information-oriented sermon, two other services were considered essential for the effective teaching of the principles of participative

worship. These two Sabbath-morning services would be offered as demonstrations of the kind of worship that encourages greater participation by the congregation. A different emphasis would be given to each service and this would allow for a range of possible alternatives in programming.

The first of these two services would be entitled, "The Festival of the Family," and it would be designed with the total family in mind. The service would include an extended song service, a scripture reading to be read aloud by the congregation, prayer, interviews, and selected musical items. Short sermonettes based on the interviews would be given by the pastor and the writer. Several families would be invited to stand at the doors leading into the main church building to welcome the church members. A special bulletin would also be prepared for the day.

The second demonstration worship service would also be designed to appeal to all age-groups, but with special attention being given to the youth of the congregation. The goal of this service would be to demonstrate the value of creative communication, and to provide further occasions for personal investment in the worship period. Included in the design would be quiet times, family and partner prayer, and an extended praise segment. There would also be a special bulletin prepared for the occasion.

4. To provide opportunities for a small number of church members to discover and share further information on participative worship

Provision would be made for a small number of representative members to attend three Friday evening seminars to share and discuss concepts and ideas on participative worship.

The first seminar would build on the material presented at the first sermon. After a brief ice-breaker exercise, time would be given to establishing the significance of a study on worship. Resources would include a video presentation and an informal survey from Adventist High School students. Material from the writer would include a presentation on the Biblical concepts of worship, leading to a working definition of participative worship.

The second and third seminars would provide further opportunities for discovery and discussion. Pastor Glen Roberts would discuss the significance of the sermon. Mr. Robert Pullar would present material dealing with creative communication, and its value in facilitating an atmosphere allowing for more involvement and participation. Time would be given to an assessment of the possible blocks to participation in worship.

5. To discover and assess any growth in both an understanding of, and attitude to, participative worship by the members who attend the worship series

A survey would be devised to make an assessment of any development in concepts and attitudes. This survey would be administered to the entire congregation during the first worship service. The results of the survey would provide an evaluation of the congregation's attitudes to worship and to participation in the worship event. The survey would also provide an opportunity for individuals to indicate whether they would be attending the seminar series. A check would then be made on the profile of the group who attended the seminars as to whether they represented the thinking of the membership at large. It would be assumed that the members who actually attended the seminars would have indicated their intentions on their survey. At the final seminar of the series, a second survey would be administered. The results of this second survey would be compared with those of the subject group taken at the first service. Any significant differences would be noted and evaluated.

CHAPTER V

IMPLEMENTATION

Introduction

This chapter will describe the procedure followed in developing and implementing the Participative Worship Series.¹ The procedure is summarized as follows:

1. Negotiation with the Theology Department of Avondale College and a clearance for the intended project
2. Selection of the Avondale College Seventh-day Adventist Church as the church community in which to conduct the project
3. Approval from the pastor and church board to conduct the worship series during the college semester-break in July, 1986
4. Development of the Worship Survey for the first service²
5. The sending of invitations to the church members to attend the seminars and services³
6. Planning the style and format of the services with the church pastor

¹The Participative Worship Series includes the two Participative Worship Surveys, the three Church Services (July 5, 12, 19), and the three Friday evening Seminars (July 11, 18, 25); hereafter referred to as the Worship Series.

²See appendix 13 for a sample of this survey.

³See appendix 1 for a sample of this letter.

7. Administration of the survey at the first service
8. Completing the services and the seminars
9. Administration of the second survey at the conclusion of the final seminar¹
10. Analysis and evaluation of the survey results

Implementation of The Worship Series

The material that follows deals with points seven to ten above.

The Participative Worship Surveys

As mentioned under ministry design in chapter IV two surveys were designed as testing instruments to form the basis for this project. These surveys were planned in collaboration with the church pastor, Pastor Lyell Heise. The first survey was administered to the entire congregation on Sabbath, 5 July. The first question of the survey required the participants to indicate whether they planned to attend the three Friday evening seminars. The individuals who planned to attend the seminars were referred to as Group B, and all the surveys which were returned with a positive response to the first question were taken to be the responses from this group. The results from the first survey therefore offered points of comparison between those of Group B and those of the entire congregation. A check could

¹See appendix 14 for a sample of this survey.

be made to discover whether Group B could be regarded as being typical of the entire congregation.

Copies of the first survey were handed to the head-deacon forty-five minutes prior to the commencement of the first service. Notification had been given to the deacon that the survey was to be a part of the service for that day, and arrangements were made for extra assistance. The order of the service was a traditional one,¹ and at the completion of the offering, the pastor introduced the researcher. A short comment was made about the purpose of the project, including the significance of the survey. The deacons then distributed the surveys. Fifteen minutes later, the surveys were collected, and the service proceeded with the second hymn and the sermon.

The introduction to the survey made it clear that no names were required on the survey. It was believed that anonymity would ensure a greater degree of honesty.

A total of 260 surveys were distributed, and 243 were returned. Six of those returned were not filled out. This left 237 completed, or partially completed, surveys for analysis.

¹See appendix 17 for a sample of the bulletin for 5 July, showing the order of service.

The second survey was administered to the members present at the conclusion of the third and final seminar.¹ This allowed points of comparison between the results of the surveys of Group B at the commencement of the worship series, and those of the Subject Group at the completion of the series. The comparisons provide the points for analysis relating to the theme of this project. Later in this chapter, they are discussed in more detail.

The Services

The three church services were held over three consecutive Sabbaths, and each service was designed to achieve a different goal. Each service was planned in close liason with the church pastor. Both he and the researcher made contact with the people invited to contribute to the service.

The First Service.

The first service was scheduled for the Sabbath of 5 July. The primary purpose of the sermon was to build a scriptural basis for the series by providing a biblical view of worship. The idea of participation in worship would be explored, and it was felt that a traditional worship format would be most appropriate for this first service. This would allow the members of the

¹This group is hereafter referred to as the Subject Group.

congregation to feel at ease during the introduction to the series. Maximum time could be provided for the sermon with this worship program.

The researcher preached a sermon entitled "Placing Stones in His Presence." The title was taken from the scripture-passage used in the sermon, which tells of Jacob's dream of the ladder that reached to heaven, and Jacob's response to this revelation of God. The aim was to convey to the congregation the fact that worship is primarily a communication-event with God, and any such time of worship will inevitably demand involvement and response. After outlining some of the thoughts currently being expressed by both Adventist and non-Adventist authors concerning the strong tendency towards non-participative, passive styles of worship, it was suggested that it was time to do symbolically what Jacob did literally--make a response to His presence--place some "stones."

Biblical worship is always an event directed to God, and any act that centred on another god, or the individual, ceased to be genuine worship. Worship is to be understood as an acknowledgement of God's attributes, and is an act as well as an attitude.

Participation in worship does not mean action per se, but an investment in time with God, a personal ownership of the event. Worship is not to be seen as a

performance that the congregation came to watch. No claim to having taken part in worship could be made by the worshipper if he had only watched something occurring and had not personally expressed gratitude to God for who he is.

An eight minute segment within the sermon was taken by Pastor Rudy Van Moere. In outlining the manner of Jewish worship at the time of Jesus, he highlighted the fact that there was much active participation by the members of the synagogue, especially during the reading of the scriptures, which was the main part of the service.¹ It was established in the sermon that a greater degree of appreciation for worship would be gained by more participation, and there would be a corresponding increase in enjoyment in the church service.

The Second Service.

The second service² was conducted on Sabbath, 12 July. Its design was directed by its title, "The Festival of the Family." The aim of this service was to provide opportunities for the members of the congregation to offer praise and worship to God, and to allow them to experience the benefits of a time of worship based on the principles outlined in the previous

¹See appendix 3 for a brief outline of this segment.

²See appendix 18 for an outline of the service.

sermon. As many age-groups as possible were to be incorporated and represented. Significant components of the service included the following:

Extended song service. Music continues to be a universal medium for communication, and is especially valuable for praise to God. It was felt that the church service needs to allow more time for corporate singing, so that all age-groups can join together in praise to God. Pastor Heise, who cared for the music of the day, therefore chose songs that would appeal to all age-groups, including songs particularly well known by the children. This would encourage them to join in more readily in the praise segment.

Special consideration was given to the order of songs. It was imperative for the successful setting of tone and atmosphere that the songs lead from one to the next, and thus "carry" the congregation into corporate praise. This was based on the belief that music can be the most effective medium for bringing people to an awareness of the presence of God. The order of the songs and hymns can enhance, or hinder, that movement. The singing therefore moved through a selection of six songs, commencing with a quiet, yet cheerful hymn ("Joyful Joyful") to an outstanding hymn of praise ("To God be the Glory"). This was then followed by a quiet, reverent song ("Gentle Holy Spirit"). This song in

particular facilitated a reverent atmosphere for the following worship event, which was prayer.

A particular facet of this period of singing was the "bridging" between songs with continuous music. It was felt that it is often the gaps in programming that lead to a disjointed, "lumpy" style of worship. The songs were therefore connected by appropriate bars of music which acted as introductions for the next song, as well as providing a quiet reflection-time at the conclusion of the song.

Specific time for worship. A segment of time was provided for the congregation during the singing of the last song of this segment for individual worship to God. The pastor informed the congregation that time would be given and that he would quietly play background music while the words of the song remained on the over-head screen. This time-space was included in the order of service to allow people the opportunity to choose to communicate their gratitude to God--an occasion not so readily available in the traditional order of service when each form follows quickly on the heels of the previous one. Often no time is designated for this specific purpose.

Interviews. A major portion of the service was given to interviewing both families and single people. Each family or person interviewed was chosen to represent either an age group, family grouping, or some special

facet of family life, e.g., adoption. A short sermonette highlighting God's adoption of his people followed the final interview. The selection of ages represented by different members of the families meant that individuals in the congregation could more easily identify with the appropriate person. General family issues were raised in the interviews, as well as individual issues.

Scripture reading. The scripture reading was an event for all the congregation. The members were invited to stand and join together in reading the scripture passage chosen for the day. It was displayed on the over-head screen, and led by the family who had just been interviewed. This method of scripture reading helped direct the congregation to a greater awareness of the importance of scripture in worship as they stood and read aloud. The problem of group reading from a wide selection of translations was also alleviated. Children, well able to see the reading, were encouraged to stand with their parents and take part in this segment of the service.

The following different features were designed to enrich the worship experience, and to keep the attention of the congregation on the theme of the day. Special bulletin. A special bulletin was prepared for the day to encourage active involvement by the children during the service. Specific questions were presented

based on the components of the service, as well as additional material for completion after the service. Book reviews and selected poetry formed a part of the bulletin.

Family welcome. Selected families were invited to stand at the doors leading into the church, and to extend a welcome to the worshippers as they came into the church from the foyers. The children of these families distributed extra bulletins.

Children assist with offerings. The deacons were asked to take one child member of their family with them as they collected the offering. This added a distinct family emphasis to the event, and many positive comments were received by the pastor and writer on this feature at the end of the service.

The Third Service.

The third and final service of the series was conducted on Sabbath, 19 July.¹ Designed more for the youth than for the families in general, the planning nevertheless ensured that no segment of the service would discourage involvement and participation by any member of the congregation. Features from the previous week included an extended praise-in-song segment, quiet worship times during the singing, welcome to the congregation at the doors (by youth), and a corporate

¹See appendix 19 for an outline of the service.

reading of scripture from the overhead screen (introduced and led by youth). This service also introduced an alternative to the time of prayer. Following the singing of "Turn Your Eyes Upon Jesus," led by a soloist, the congregation was invited to pray. People could pray as families, with those nearest to them in the pew, or individually.

The sermon was a period of creative communication introduced and led by Mr. Robert Pullar. This part of the service was a short dramatized segment dealing with the theme of prayer. At the end of the sermon, the speaker led straight into the benediction. This in turn was followed by the congregational singing of "Gentle Holy Spirit." The church pastor wished the members of the congregation a joyful and happy Sabbath, and then led the orchestra into a postlude. The writer noted with interest that it was at least two minutes before any person chose to leave his seat, even though the service had not concluded until 12:15 p.m.

The Seminars

The three seminars were held on three consecutive Friday evenings during the same three week period as the services. These seminars were included in the series in order to provide occasions for further instruction and idea exchange in participative worship, and to allow for the establishment of a representative

group from the congregation. Any growth in concept-development and any developing positive attitudes towards participation in worship could be assessed here.

These seminars were conducted by the writer, with assistance from the church pastor and selected invitees. A summary of the material pursued in each seminar follows.

Seminar One

The first seminar¹ was conducted on Friday evening, 11 July, commencing at 7:30 p.m., with a total of thirty-eight people in attendance.²

After a welcome and an ice-breaker exercise, the writer introduced the purpose of the seminar series, and invited the participants to commit themselves to the series, emphasizing that the success of the series depended to a great degree on the continuity of the group. A presentation on worship renewal throughout Scripture and history led into the screening of a video tape that dealt with the current worship situation in Australia. A recent informal survey carried out at an Adventist High School which highlighted teenager's concepts and attitudes to current Adventist church

¹See appendix 4 for an outline of the Seminar program.

²See appendix 7 which lists the number of participants according to age-grouping.

worship patterns was discussed. A review and enlargement of the material from the first sermon led to the next segment. The aim here was to arrive at a definition of participative worship, and to make clear that participation in worship did not mean action per se, but investment, ownership, and a personal response. The writer concluded the meeting with an invitation to seminar participants to be especially aware of the events of the service on the following day, and to be ready to share and discuss their reactions at the following seminar. The meeting closed with prayer at 9:30 p.m.

Seminar Two

The second seminar was conducted on Friday evening, 19 July.¹ The attendance was slightly less than at the first seminar.² A welcome and short ice-breaker exercise led into a review of the material from the first seminar, this being especially helpful to several people who were attending for the first time. An analysis of the previous sabbath service was then undertaken. Many positive comments were expressed, with much appreciation for the music and singing, as well as the amount of involvement by the children. A

¹See appendix 5 for an outline of the Seminar program.

²See appendix 7 which lists the number of participants according to age-grouping.

further section of the video "The Sunburnt Soul," showing several alternative worship styles being followed by selected groups within, and separate to, the mainline churches, sparked further discussion. Pastor Glenn Roberts then shared with the group information from his recently completed (1985) M.A. degree project dealing with adolescents and the sermon. His studies suggested that young people will consider a sermon to be of greater value when it is expressed in relevant terms, and showed that young people's attitudes to the service, often quite negative, can be improved by alternative sermon styles. This study indicated that participation in worship will increase if the style of worship facilitates an atmosphere for participation, which will include an awareness of the significance of a creative, dynamic sermon.

Mr. Robert Pullar provided information on 'creative-communication' (drama), and then shared with the seminar group his aims for the sermon to be taken the next day (third service), with emphasis on demonstrating the value of conveying biblical truths in a creative and contemporary style. He suggested that some people in the congregation may find his material somewhat irreverent. He assured the seminar group that this would not be his aim in any way. On the contrary, his aim would be to awaken the congregation to the importance of honesty in communication with God.

The writer then outlined the order of service for the following day. The members of the seminar group were encouraged to return the following Friday evening with reactions and ideas for a feedback session. The meeting closed with prayer at 9:40 p.m.

Seminar Three

The final seminar of the series was held on Friday evening, 25 July, commencing at 7:30 p.m.¹ It was an extremely cold night with some snow reported in the local area. This probably had a bearing on the attendance at the seminar.² Several people who had not attended the other meetings came to this seminar. After a welcome and prayer, the writer invited the members to form into groups of five or six, and to prepare a written consensus statement on what they believed to be a suitable definition of participative worship and what they believed the seminars and the worship services were attempting to say. The purpose of this exercise was to discover if the participants had been able to discern correctly the emphasis of the material presented. Discussion was given to the submissions, which were taped to the walls of the room for further review. In an analysis of the

¹See appendix 6 for an outline of the Seminar program.

²See appendix 7 which list the number of participants according to age-group.

previous service, study was given to the way in which each component of the service led into, and was tied to, the next component. Overhead transparencies of the order of both second and third services were displayed and explained.

"Blocks and Barriers," was a segment dealing with the factors that may hinder an individual's involvement and participation in worship. Members were invited to discuss blocks and barriers in their groups, and then to share the results, which were placed on an overhead. The writer added several others to the member's list. The writer then invited the members to fill out the second Participative Worship Survey. The writer then extended thanks to all the seminar members for their time, their contributions, and their commitment to the series. The meeting closed with prayer at 9:45 p.m.

Reaction and Evaluation

The Surveys

Survey 1: Group A and B compared and contrasted

Of the surveys returned at the 5 July service, 237 were suitable for analysis. Sixty-eight indicated that the respondents planned to attend the three seminars. This selection of sixty-eight were therefore taken as the "potential" subject-group. By comparing the results of these sixty-eight surveys with the

remaining 169,¹ it could be determined whether the members of Group B were representative of the congregation as a whole.

The results indicate a close correlation between Group A and Group B in several areas.² Significant areas of similarity were:

1. Personal information, e.g., sex, marriage status
2. Attendance at Sabbath School and church (Q.2)
3. Opinion as to the age-grouping for which the worship at the College Church had been best suited over the previous six months (Q.9)
4. The prime purpose of worship (Q.10)
5. The statement closest to a definition of worship (Q.11)
6. The most enjoyable worship service (Q.12)
7. The number of opportunities available for participation in the church service (Q.16)

The results also indicate differences between the groups. Significant differences occurred in the following areas:

1. Current holding of a church office (Q.4)
2. Current relationship with Jesus (Q.5)
3. Personal devotions (Q.6)
4. Description of church (friendly/unfriendly) (Q.7)
5. Worship experiences other than Sabbath services (Q.8)

¹A total of 169, hereafter referred to as Group A.

²See appendix 15 for a complete listing of survey results for Groups A and B.

6. The view that services should keep to the same format every week (Q.13a)
7. The view that worshipping God is best done alone (Q.13c)
8. The view that the degree of a person's participation in the church service is related to personality (Q.13h)
9. The view that it is possible to worship by identifying with a person doing a special item up the front (Q.13i)
10. The view that weekly worship is virtually meaningless (Q.13l)
11. The view that clapping hands while singing in church is quite acceptable (Q.13m)
12. Participation in the church service (up the front) (Q.14)
13. The view that participating to a greater degree in the church service would enhance and enrich worship (Q.15)
14. Participation in the church service (from the pew) (Q.17)
15. Acceptance of invitations to assist in the service (Q.18)

These results indicate that Groups A and B attended worship services at the College Church to the same degree; they held similar views on the nature of worship; they enjoyed the same style of worship; and they believed similarly as to the number of opportunities that were available for participation in the services.

However, the results also suggest that the members of Group B were more committed to God and the church; more open to change in worship style and format; more oriented to worship in community; and more

committed to involvement and participation in the church service. In other words, Group B were typical of the congregation in their understanding of worship and what it was for, but were atypical in their attitude to involvement and response in the worship event.

Group B and Subject Group
compared and contrasted

Of great interest to this project is that, through the services and the seminars, members of Group B made changes in their understanding of the nature of worship. They also registered a higher degree of appreciation for what the services modelled as an informal worship style, and they expressed a clearer understanding of the meaning of participation in worship. These observations are based on an assessment of the results of the first survey, which was completed by Group B on 5 July, and the second survey, completed by the Subject Group on 25 July.

Changes which could be regarded as significant occurred at questions 10, 11, 12, and 13 a, b, e, f, i, k, and l.

At question 10, the participants were required to rank in order of importance a list of statements which were regarded as possible expressions of the "prime purpose of worship." Due to time factors, a result was calculated on the "first choice" only. The alternative statements, and the results (expressed as percentages), were as follows:

	Group B	Subject Group
a. to be with my friends	2.9	3.6
b. to get strength for the new week	19.1	10.7
c. to be better prepared for witnessing	0.0	0.0
d. to give God praise and adoration	48.5	64.4
e. to relax from the cares of the week	2.9	0.0
f. to be alone with God	14.7	10.7
g. to get a greater knowledge of the Bible	7.3	3.6
(more than one category marked as first choice)	4.4	7.0

A greater percentage of the group at the end of the seminar regarded "d" as the statement that best expressed their prime purpose for worship. This was encouraging to the writer, as both sermon and seminars had pointed out that the primary meaning of worship is to ascribe to God praise and adoration for who he is, and any worship event needs to have God at the centre. Some of the members still saw the alternatives to "d" as suitable reasons to be at the service. For example, a High School student (year 10, female, 15-17 years of age) listed "a" as her first choice, which could indicate a strong desire for friendship at worship occasions. While there was a slight drop in percentage values for "b," "f," and "g," the point remains that by

far the majority continued to recognize that genuine worship must centre on the character of God.

This response was validated at question 11, which required the participants to indicate which statement, from a list of alternatives, best defined worship. The alternative choices and the results were:

	Group B	Subject Group
a. all the activities as listed in the usual order of service	14.7	0.0
b. singing and praying only	0.0	0.0
c. any charitable, religious, or community project	11.8	7.0
d. acknowledging God's attributes through praise	58.8	64.4
e. the sermon	14.7	0.0
f. none of the above	—	14.3
g. other	—	14.3

There was a slight rise in percentage at "d." While the difference of 5.6 percent is not a large one, it needs to be seen in reference to the alternatives: statements "a," "b," and "e" received no score and only 2 respondents (7.0 percent) chose "c." Further, the second survey offered two alternative choices to this question which were not a part of the first survey, namely, "f " "none of the above," and "g " "other." These were included to offer an opportunity to the respondents to be more definitive if they felt the

choices were inadequate, and to write down a statement that would more correctly reflect their thinking. In retrospect, the decision to include these two further alternatives was unfortunate, in that it did not equate with the first survey, and spread the percentage of possible answers. However, five of the eight respondents who chose to tick these alternatives wrote down an optional statement. These were, a) "totally praising God together"; b) "anything done in the praise of God"; c) "Participation and involvement in giving. Praise and glory to God through the whole being of the individual"; d) "being involved in the service mentally and spiritually, etc."; and e) "items 'b' (without the only), 'c,' 'd' and 'e' above." The first three responses indicate that the respondents see worship as a God-event--God is at the centre. The fourth response is reflecting the intent of participative worship as presented in the services and seminars. Hence, these four responses could be regarded as reflecting the major emphasis of worship and the idea of participation. Only the latter option is regarded as being somewhat short of the intent of the series.

Question 12 of the survey gave opportunity to the participants to indicate the style of worship that for them was the most enjoyable. It was presumed that a worship service which is considered "enjoyable" will be more attractive to the participants, and will give rise

to a greater readiness for personal investment and ownership in the event. The alternatives and results were:

	Group B	Subject Group
a. Special Feature Service	33.8	32.1
b. Communion Service	5.9	0.0
c. Formal Service	13.2	3.6
d. Testimony Service	7.3	3.6
e. Informal Service	32.3	57.1
not filled in	7.3	3.6

The most significant difference occurs at option "e" (Informal Service), where there is a difference of 24.8 between the two percentages. It is clear that participants found "enjoyment" in this style of worship service. The results confirm remarks made to the researcher and the church pastor at the conclusion of the 25 July service. The results also reveal that whereas 5.9 percent of the group ticked "b" at the commencement of the series, none at all ticked this option at the end of the series. There was also a negative difference at options "a," "c," and "d." A further comparison is worth noting. Options "a" and "e" as at 5 July total 66.1 percent, and 89.2 percent at 25 July. It was considered that these results confirm a point of view which helped direct attention to this project. It is clear that a considerable number of

people who regularly attend worship would find an alternative style of worship more enjoyable than the traditional style regularly followed by the majority of Adventist churches. A difference of 53.5 percent must be taken as very significant. The results of the surveys of the total congregation are worth noting here: of the 237 replies, 28.3 percent chose option "e," 31.6 percent chose option "a," and only 16.0 percent chose option "c." Again, the difference is more significant when options "a" and "e" are added together, giving a difference of 43.9 percent between the options.

The style of worship will have a significant bearing on the degree of "enjoyment" that will be perceived by the congregation members. Further, both the special feature service and the informal service, as demonstrated during the-series, have better chances of facilitating an atmosphere for genuine worship, and will therefore provide more occasions for participation, and greater opportunities for active involvement.

Question 13 required the participants to indicate whether they "strongly agreed," "agreed," were "uncertain," "disagreed," or "strongly disagreed" with twelve different statements about worship. Significant differences occurred at a, b, e, f, i, k, and l.

The statement at "a" was "I believe the service should keep to the same format every week." The results as at 5 July indicate that 50.0 percent of the group

disagreed with this statement, and 27.9 percent strongly disagreed, a total of 77.9 percent against the statement. Those who agreed with the statement represented 5.9 percent of the group, while 7.3 strongly agreed, a total of 13.2 percent in agreement with this thought. At the conclusion of the series, 32.1 percent disagreed with the statement, and a high 60.7 percent strongly disagreed, making a total of 92.8 percent against the statement. This is to be compared with a total of only 3.6 percent who agreed with the statement--no one at all strongly agreed. There was a significant shift in opinion in respect to change in the format of service.

The statement at "b" read, "I feel the need to worship God but find it very difficult at church." It was recognized in retrospect that this question consists of two parts, and is therefore somewhat limited in value. Participants could either give answer to the first part of the question, i.e., 'I feel the need to worship God,' or the latter half, 'I find it difficult to worship God at church.' In light of the fact that over 70 percent of the Subject Group marked either the strong or very strong category to describe their relationship with Jesus, it was considered safe to say that the greater number of respondents would feel the need to worship God. Taking the emphasis to be on the latter half of the question, the results indicate a

change of 20.3 percent between the results when combining the "disagree" and "strongly disagree" categories, i.e., while 70.5 percent either "disagreed" or "strongly disagreed" with the thought that it is difficult to worship God at church, 92.8 percent "disagreed" or "strongly disagreed" at the end of the series. This would seem to indicate that the participants saw new possibilities for worshipping God during the service. It could also be taken that factors which may have been previously considered to be hindrances to worship were now viewed differently.

A significant increase in a readiness to accept an invitation to be involved in the service is indicated by the results at "e." Whereas 58.8 percent of the group at the commencement of the series either "agreed" or "strongly agreed" with the idea, 78.6 percent filled in the same categories at the end of the series. There was a greater willingness to respond in worship, and to be involved in the activities of the service.

There was also a shift at "f," which stated, "I am satisfied with my own level of participation during the church service." The figures reveal that, when combining the two categories of agree and strongly agree, 45.6 percent accepted the statement at 5 July, but this moved up to 75.1 percent at 25 July. A greater percentage of the group could express satisfaction with their level of worship at the end of the series. This

conclusion supports the conclusion drawn at "b," namely, that the group members have found new ways of expressing their worship to God. There is a greater awareness of the possibilities and potential opportunities for worship during the service. This gives strong support to the premise that the style of worship service will provide greater opportunities for the congregation to worship God.

A shift also occurred at "i" which was of interest. The statement read, "It is possible to worship by identifying with a person doing a special item up the front." The results indicate that 22.0 percent "strongly agreed" with this statement, and 57.3 percent "agreed," a total of 79.3 percent. This changed to 96.4 percent as at 25 July, 17.8 percent strongly agreeing, and 78.6 percent agreeing. During the seminar periods it was contended that it is impossible to worship by identifying with someone doing an item "up-the-front," if that identification is simply a "listening" event. Simply "hearing" an "expression" of worship, such as an item, sermon, or prayer, is not worship per se, unless the "listener" invests, owns, or buys into that expression of worship and makes it his own expression of worship to God. It must become a personal expression of reaching out towards God. An evaluation of the results of the question therefore depend on how the question was viewed. The increase

certainly suggests a greater commitment to the expressions of worship, and that change is distinctly positive.

The results at "k" are also worthy of note. The thought has already been expressed (see chapter II) that Scripture seems to suggest a difference between praise and worship. While praise can be understood to be gratitude to God for His acts towards man, worship is gratitude to God for his attributes--for who he is. This distinction was considered of value by the subject group, expressed in a higher percentage who "agree" or "strongly agree" with the statement--a move from 29.3 percent to 46.4 percent.

A slight degree of change occurs at "l," which states, "my weekly worship is virtually meaningless." While 85.2 percent either "disagreed" or "strongly disagreed" at the commencement of the series, 92.8 percent "disagreed" or "strongly disagreed" at the conclusion of the series. While the move is not substantial, it is a positive move, and therefore supports the conclusions above.

The Services

The three church services (5, 12, 19 July) were considered to be integral to the success of the project, and the two demonstration services in particular helped to provide tangible evidence of the principles expressed throughout the series. Several facets stand out as

being worthy of special notice. The first was the "flow" of the services. Special time was given in the preparation of each demonstration service to ensure that there was an interdependency between the components of the service, and that one event flowed into the next. There were very few gaps in the order of service, and any quiet times were specifically included to allow for worship to occur.

The second was the much greater degree of participation by the congregation in the worship events. There was a greater feeling of presence and energy. The singing was distinctly more enthusiastic than usual, there was a quietness in the church during items, interviews, and the sermon, and a certain reluctance to leave the precincts of the church at the conclusion of the service. These observations were not unique to the writer. The church pastor, as well as a number in the congregation, stated that they had also made similar observations. Members stated that they recognised special value in this approach to worship.

Several questions at the end of the second survey gave opportunity to the members of the Subject Group to express their impressions of these services. A selection of these are given in Appendix 12.

The Seminars

Several questions in the second survey related specifically to the seminars. These were questions 14, 15, and 16.

Question 14 required the participants to indicate whether the words "investment," "ownership," and "personal response" conveyed a concept of participative worship that was a) new to them; b) a sharpening of a concept they already had thought about; or c) already familiar to them. The results revealed that twelve respondents (42.8 percent) considered the concept to be new to them. This may suggest that they had never really taken the time to consider the meaning of worship in the past, or of applying those terms or ideas to worship. If they had, perhaps no satisfactory conclusion had been reached, or a conclusion reached which was different from the one expressed on this survey. It could indicate that while these members attended services, and no doubt found them helpful, they were not able to define what was taking place, nor why they were there. A total of fourteen (50.0 percent) indicated that the seminars proved to be a time for sharpening the focus on this concept of worship. It was something they had considered to some degree in the past, but now it had been sharpened and better defined.

Question 15 required the participants to indicate

whether the seminars (and services) had been instrumental in changing their understanding of participative worship. They were to choose between "yes" and "no," and if their answer was "yes," they were to indicate whether this change was "most significant," "somewhat significant," or "not very significant at all". A total of twenty two (78.6 percent) indicated that there had been a change in their understanding of participative worship. Of this number, seven (31.8 percent) regarded the change to be most significant; thirteen (59.0 percent) regarded the change to be somewhat significant; and only one (4.6 percent) considered the change to be of little significance. One respondent (4.6 percent) chose not to fill in this question. Six (21.4 percent) respondents in the Subject Group chose "no," indicating that there had been no change in their understanding. However, five of these six respondents also indicated that there had been a "sharpening" of the concept because of their attendance at the seminars.

Question 16 requested an indication of what part of the seminars was considered by the participants to be most helpful. The responses are listed in Appendix 11.

Conclusion

The results of the surveys indicate that there has been a positive change in attitude towards the worship of the church. There is a greater level of

commitment to investment and ownership in worship, and a greater desire for involvement in the expressions of worship--acts of response. There seems to be a clearer understanding of worship as being a communication-event with God, with the emphasis remaining on God at the centre, and not the individual. There is also the indication that alternative worship styles can offer greater opportunities for worship to take place in the church-service setting, with an associated increase in degree of enjoyment.

The three services proved to be of great value to the direction of this project. The demonstration services produced an atmosphere which facilitated genuine worship and praise. Many availed themselves of these opportunities. Such services require time and careful organisation in-planning and presentation, with worship leaders sensitive to the principles behind this style of worship. The many expressions of thanks and other evidences of appreciation received by the writer only confirm the view that this style of participative worship ought to receive greater emphasis in the total spread of worship times within our churches.

The three seminars proved to be valuable occasions for the transfer and sharing of information and ideas, and appeared to be worthwhile to the group members. The fact that not all of the participants were able to be there each evening meant there was a lesser

degree of continuity in thought and direction. This was further influenced by the fact that several people came on the second and third evenings who had not attended the first seminar. This meant that what they were hearing and discussing was not well founded on the theoretical base established at the first seminar.

The discussion during the seminars was open and honest, and reactions and ideas were readily expressed. The amount of time given to discussion could have been increased, allowing for greater interaction and sharing. However, time was a constant limiting factor, and many issues that arose had to be left for some future occasion.

CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This project demonstrated that concepts of worship and attitudes to worship can be studied and assessed, and more importantly, positively modified. In particular, it has demonstrated that there is a genuine interest among Seventh-day Adventist worshippers in church services which generate an atmosphere for worshipping God, and allow for creative ways of expressing gratitude and praise to Him. Both written and verbal responses to the worship events in this series indicate the value and worth of the style and format followed in these services. This underscores the importance of putting time and effort into the planning of corporate worship that invites participation by the congregation.

Such participation will be based on the recognition that worship is not an experience from which something is received. Rather, it is an event in which to give. All worshippers can therefore be involved in the service. Each worshipper can personally express gratitude to God for His love while being a part of the larger congregation.

Participation will be further seen as an investment--a commitment of time, effort, and energy. It will be seen as an experience to be individually owned. Each person will take responsibility for his worship, and he will not allow the elements of worship to slip past unnoticed.

Again, participative worship will be regarded as a time for responding in some appropriate way, in order to reinforce the value of the experience, and to encourage fellow members of the worshipping community.

It is therefore recommended that further study be given to significant worship issues. There needs to be a greater awareness of the importance of effective worship, and a greater understanding of the components and factors that facilitate genuine and worthwhile worship experiences. Strategies need to be developed for providing this information for Adventist worshippers. Creative methods need to be implemented in order that as many church members as possible can discover the value of buying into the weekly time of worship. Study could be given to the setting-up at Union or Division level of a team of worship-instructors responsible for the dissemination of information and resources on all aspects of worship and congregational involvement. Study could also be given to alternative ways of demonstrating model services to worship leaders. The video-tape immediately comes to mind.

Thought could also be given to ways of providing special instruction to worship leaders who are responsible for leading out in the services of the church. Ministers, elders, and musicians would do well to discover and incorporate the principles outlined in this project, as well as many others that will assist in leading congregations to the worship of God.

The results of this project confirmed the view that the current formats of the Sabbath School and church service merits serious consideration. The regular use of the word "preliminaries," referring to the parts of Sabbath School and the church service prior to the lesson and sermon, pinpoints a problem that requires attention. Could it be that worship leaders regard the events at the start of each service as only time-filling exercises? Are both Sabbath School and the service seen primarily as information-giving times? Both services consist of two recognisable components--the preliminaries, and then the information (lesson study and sermon). This inevitably leads to the situation where the Sabbath School program and the service follow similar patterns, and little time is available for corporate praise and celebration. This need not be the case. Sabbath School could well continue as the time for information transfer, where emphasis is given to the study of God's Word. Sharing and idea-exchange can take place in small groups,

designed according to age-grouping and interests. This would allow for the development of a greater sense of fellowship and belonging, as well as for growth in the knowledge of The Word. The components of Sabbath School that currently make up the preliminaries, could then be effectively transferred to the worship service. This would mean that the service would not be solely focussed on information-giving. More expression could be given to the facets of worship which were examined in this project, namely, extended praise segments, interviews, creative prayer segments, etc. The results of this project suggest that this style of worship may be the most effective way of facilitating effective congregational participation.

APPENDIX 1

INVITATION LETTER TO POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS

INVITATION LETTER TO POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS

26 Lindfield Ave.
Cooranbong, 2265, N.S.W.
11.6.86.

Hi!,

Several years ago, Norval Pease, an Adventist author, wrote, "The success of the Church to which we are devoting our lives depends to a great extent on what happens between eleven and twelve o'clock on Sabbath mornings."

There is a lot of truth to that, and I guess that is why we put so much effort and energy into our Sabbath services and make them the highlight of our corporate worship. Doesn't it also suggest that we would do well to find ways of enhancing and enriching our worship?

One aspect that I think we could consider is what I have chosen to call "participative worship." J. Robert Spangler alluded to the idea of greater involvement by the members in the service when he recently wrote that "more and more the members are becoming spectators. The church is rapidly becoming a theatre in which the performers do their part." Could it be that worship should be more congregation-oriented, providing more occasions for participation and involvement by the worshippers? Perhaps genuine worship is more an "activity"--something you do, rather than something you watch!

The College Church Board and the church pastor have agreed that this is an important area of worship for consideration. They have set aside several Sabbaths for experimentation and assessment. They have also agreed to my hosting several seminars to provide time for further information and discussion on this significant aspect of our worship. The following dates have been set:

Saturday, July 5	- Sabbath Service
Friday, July 11	- Friday evening seminar (special study group)
Saturday, July 12	- Sabbath Service
Friday, July 18	- Friday evening seminar
Saturday, July 19	- Sabbath Service
Friday, July 25	- Friday evening seminar.

For this project to be successful, I need the participation of a group of people willing to commit themselves to attending the services and seminars. I would greatly value your presence on these occasions and your readiness to share your thoughts and ideas on this aspect of worship. Please complete the attached form and place it in the specially prepared box at the Dean of Student's Office by Monday, June 23.

Yours Sincerely,

Trafford A. Fischer.

Yes, I will be happy to be a part of the special study group, and be able to meet with you on the occasions as outlined in your letter.

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____ Phone: _____

Age Group: 15-17 ()	35-44 ()
18-24 ()	45-54 ()
25-34 ()	55+ ()

APPENDIX 2
INFORMATION LETTER SENT TO
SEMINAR PARTICIPANTS

INFORMATION LETTER SENT TO
SEMINAR PARTICIPANTS

Hi,

Thank you so much for responding positively to the invitation to join the seminar group, and give time to the study of Church Worship, with special emphasis given to the concept of greater participation. I believe our time together will be very profitable.

Our first seminar commences at 7.30 p.m., on Friday evening, July 11, in the Youth/Fellowship room at the College Church. The two other seminars, July 18 and 25, will be at the same place and also commence at 7.30 p.m. We plan to conclude the evening seminars at 9.15 p.m. We suggest that you bring a pen, that's about all you will need.

Looking forward to seeing you.

Till then, kind regards,

Trafford A. Fischer.

APPENDIX 3

OUTLINE OF SEGMENT IN THE FIRST SERVICE

TAKEN BY PASTOR RUDY VAN MOERE

OUTLINE OF SEGMENT IN THE FIRST SERVICE
TAKEN BY PASTOR RUDY VAN MOERE

Worship in the Synagogue
in the First Century

1. The meeting together at the synagogue was for the purpose of reading and studying scripture. Praying was less important.
2. The reading was for a practical purpose--what to do/not to do for spiritual purposes. Reading the word of God was coming closer to God.
3. A sense of coming together was born from the community reading.
4. The command, "you shall read," in Deut.31:10 was taken to mean everybody. Therefore seven persons were selected to read from the scriptures. Six readings were taken from the Torah and one from the Prophets. The readings were also translated. The readings followed a regular annual calendar of selected verses. There were also prayers from the psalms.
5. At least four people (if seven readers were not available) had to participate in the reading event: one would get the scroll, one would read the verses, one would give a translation, and one would put the scroll away.
6. People from the audience were invited to read, and preach, so they always had to be prepared (they were only allowed one excuse!).

APPENDIX 4
OUTLINE OF PROGRAM
SEMINAR ONE

OUTLINE OF PROGRAM
SEMINAR ONE

Seminar One - 11 July

- 7.30 p.m. Welcome and Introductions
- 7.35 p.m. Ice-breaker Exercise
- 7.50 p.m. Outline of Purpose of Project, Services, and Seminars.
- 7.55 p.m. Prayer
- 7.58 p.m. Segment 1 "A Basis for Worship"
- 8.40 p.m. Segment 2 "Understanding Biblical Worship"
- 8.55 p.m. Segment 3 "Understanding Participative Worship"
- 9.25 p.m. Conclusions
- 9.35 p.m. Benediction
- 9.37 p.m. Details concerning future meetings.

APPENDIX 5
OUTLINE OF PROGRAM
SEMINAR TWO

OUTLINE OF PROGRAM
SEMINAR TWO

Seminar Two - 19 July

- 7.30 p.m. Welcome and Introductions
- 7.34 p.m. Prayer
- 7.35 p.m. Ice-breaker Exercise
- 7.50 p.m. Outline of Evening Program
- 7.54 p.m. Segment 1 - Summary of Seminar One
- 8.00 p.m. Segment 2 - Review of Service One
- 8.15 p.m. Segment 3 - "The Sunburnt Soul," No.2
- 8.40 p.m. Segment 4 - Pr. Glen Roberts, "The Sermon"
- 9.05 p.m. Segment 5 - R. Pullar, "Creative
Communication"
- 9.30 p.m. Conclusions
- 9.40 p.m. Benediction
- 9.45 p.m. Details concerning future meetings.

APPENDIX 6
OUTLINE OF PROGRAM
SEMINAR THREE

OUTLINE OF PROGRAM
SEMINAR THREE

Seminar Three - 26 July

- 7.30 p.m. Welcome and Introductions
- 7.35 p.m. Ice-breaker Exercise
- 7.45 p.m. Prayer
- 7.48 p.m. Segment 1 - "Putting It All Together"
- 8.30 p.m. Segment 2 - Review of Service Two
- 8.50 p.m. Segment 3 - "Blocks and Barricades"
- 9.15 p.m. Segment 4 - Review of Expectations
- 9.25 p.m. Segment 5 - Completion of Participative
Worship Survey, No.2
- 9.40 p.m. Benediction
- 9.45 p.m. Drinks.

APPENDIX 7
ATTENDANCE AT SEMINARS

ATTENDANCE AT SEMINARS

Seminar 1 (July 11)

15-17	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	TOTAL
9	5	7	7	5	5	38

Seminar 2 (July 19)

15-17	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	TOTAL
5	5	5	7	3	7	32

Seminar 3 (July 25)

15-17	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	TOTAL
3	3	6	8	2	5	28

(Those giving no indication of age = 1)


APPENDIX 8

OVERHEAD TRANSPARENCY-SEMINAR ONE
THE NEW TESTAMENT
CHURCH PROFILE

OVERHEAD TRANSPARENCY-SEMINAR ONE

THE NEW TESTAMENT CHURCH PROFILE

THE NEW TESTAMENT CHURCH PROFILE



Christianity is about relationships (Fellowship with Christ and one another)

Christian Community is about nurturing those relationships

Worship celebrates the relationship

Mission extends it

Every church should assess itself on how balanced it is in facilitating these dynamics in all ministries and functions

NURTURE

Ephesians 4:11-13; 2 Peter 1:5-8

Involves a ministry that fosters the personal and spiritual growth and development of each member in accordance with Biblically-taught principles.

It involves the careful integration of all members' personal ministries into the life and activity of the church family.

It means enabling members to commit their lives and resources to the Lord in servanthood.

FELLOWSHIP

1 Corinthians 13; Ephesians 4:32; Acts 2:42

Involves members who welcome and accept each other as altogether one in Christ Jesus.

It means the development of a caring community of people who interact with each other in a healthy way, affirming and building each other up; people who offer emotional and spiritual support to fellow believers by listening, understanding and responding to each other's needs.

MISSION

Matthew 28:19,20; Romans 1:16,17; Revelation 14:6-12

Involves the witness of one's personal Christian faith and experience.

The proclamation and demonstration in life and deed of God's ever-lasting gospel as His wonderful plan of salvation for all mankind.

The priority of every caring congregation.

APPENDIX 9
OVERHEAD TRANSPARENCY-SEMINAR ONE
A STATEMENT OF WORSHIP

WORSHIP

Hebrews 10:25; Colossians 3:15-17; Revelation 14:7

Involves opportunities for members to unite in the celebration of their relationship with God.

It means providing occasions for corporate praise and thanksgiving.

It suggests the creation of a ministry that seeks appropriate ways to release a spirit of gratitude and reverence for the Creator-God.

WORSHIP

WORSHIP IS:

1. _____
2. _____

WORSHIP SUGGESTS:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____

WORSHIP PROVIDES:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

WORSHIP

WORSHIP IS:

1. To God; of God - a direction
2. From man; with man - a relationship

WORSHIP SUGGESTS:

1. Time
2. Effort
3. Honesty
4. Freedom

WORSHIP PROVIDES:

1. Intimacy
2. Renewal
3. Direction

APPENDIX 10
OVERHEAD TRANSPARENCY-SEMINAR TWO
PARTICIPATION IN WORSHIP

OVERHEAD TRANSPARENCY-SEMINAR TWO

PARTICIPATION IN WORSHIP

PARTICIPATION IN WORSHIP

Participation is:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Participation suggests:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Participation provides:

1. _____
2. _____

PARTICIPATION IN WORSHIP

PARTICIPATION IS:

1. Investment.
2. Ownership.
3. Response.

PARTICIPATION SUGGESTS:

1. Effort.
2. Honesty.
3. Freedom.

PARTICIPATION PROVIDES:

1. Reinforcement.
2. Assurance.

APPENDIX 11
THE MOST HELPFUL SEGMENTS
OF THE SEMINARS

THE MOST HELPFUL SEGMENTS OF THE SEMINARS

Selected responses from Participative Worship Survey 2, that were given to Question 16, "I think the most helpful segment/part of all three seminars was:"

1. The components of the third seminar
2. The segment on removing the "lumps" from the service
3. The various group discussions
4. The times given to sharing of opinions and ideas
5. The video--"The Sunburnt Soul"
6. The discussion of the concept of participation
7. The segment on the blocks to effective worship
8. The discussion on the two demonstration-services

APPENDIX 12
PARTICIPANTS' ANSWERS TO QUESTION SEVENTEEN
IN PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP SURVEY 2

PARTICIPANTS' ANSWERS TO QUESTION SEVENTEEN
IN PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP SURVEY 2

1. "The format of the services was more conducive to participation. Interest was maintained throughout the service. The informal structuring worked well to achieve the above"
2. "The children were easier to cope with! 'Good to see others (especially younger ones) actively involved and enjoying worship"
3. "Exciting--enlightning!"
4. "More relaxed, less pressure, enjoyable"
5. "Increased interest; increased emotional involvement; increased thankfulness to God for His gifts, e.g., family, etc., (compared with a feeling of being a spectator)"
6. "Conditioning at the commencement was excellent. Less formal and structured--excellent for atmosphere. Superb music--not just one instrument, not dragging and uninteresting. Lively service. Interesting and enjoyable, different. Suitable for the whole family"
7. "I enjoyed the change, but most importantly my children were identifying with the sermon instead of reading/colouring in, etc."
8. "Paid more attention because of the subject. I was curious as to what older people thought their worship was like"
9. "I felt more involved--almost a feeling of a special invitation to 'join in' in whatever way I did. This appealed to me as it would to most--to be invited to feel 'special' if you like"
10. "More aware of conscious attempt being made to create an atmosphere of worship"
11. "Variety reduces ritual boredom"
12. "Very Good!"

13. "Emotional involvement--helps to relive experience of worship through the week; Songs and hymns leading into worship are in your mind and you begin singing them through the week; scripture reading more impact when participating"
14. "Greater degree of anticipation ('what will it be like today?'); greater amount of expressed appreciation and discussion at lunch and with others in the afternoon"
15. "Enjoyed the change and stimulus. Our two boys took part--we were surprised they took part so readily In 'Family Service,' primary aged children responded well. My nine year old boy enjoyed Questionnaire in bulletin and stickers! As a family we talked together about the worship service much more than usual! Enjoyed less formal music--especially singing scripture songs"
16. "I enjoyed both services greatly, and so did my wife, and the children expressed their appreciation of the services quite vocally when comparing it with 'usual' worship services. Although not directly involved, it certainly involved their age groups and dealt with ideas, actions and people from their age and/or interest group"
17. "We thoroughly enjoyed the variety in the format of the services. Not only was this enjoyment, but also an increased spiritual experience. While I have always felt 'participative in the pew' without having to be involved 'up front,' I was very gratified to sense that there was a greater feeling of participation in other members of the congregation"
18. "Total involvement; unaware of time passing; enjoyment of all proceedings; feel as if I know a greater portion of the church after family service; lack of thought of worries of week or even what Mrs. 'Jones' was wearing!"
19. "It was a start on the way to what I percieve to be Participative Worship"
20. "Touched the heart--but did little to my conscience"
21. "A change was enjoyable; a significant and firm base is needed"
22. "The informality made it much easier to involve myself (mind) and concentrate"

APPENDIX 13
PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP SURVEY

PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP SURVEY.

The results from this survey will be used to assess your current thinking on worship, and your participation in worship. Your answers will be of tremendous value to this study.

We do not require your name, only your honest thoughts.

Thankyou.

Instructions:

- A. Would you please answer all questions.
B. Place a tick in the appropriate square for each separate question.
-

1. I will be attending the Seminars. ☐ I will not be at the Seminars. ☐

2. How frequently have you attended Sabbath Services during the past twelve months (on the average)?

	Sabbath School	Church Service
a. every week	☐	☐
b. 2 or 3 times a month	☐	☐
c. once a month	☐	☐
d. less than monthly	☐	☐
e. not at all	☐	☐

3. I regularly attend the Avondale College Church ☐ I am a visitor ☐

4. Do you currently hold a Church Office?

Yes ☐ No ☐

5. How would you describe your current relationship with Jesus?

a. very strong ☐
b. strong ☐
c. weak ☐
d. very weak ☐

6. I have personal devotions,

- a. ☐ daily
- b. ☐ several times a week
- c. ☐ once a week or less

7. How would you describe this church?

- a. ☐ very friendly
- b. ☐ somewhat friendly
- c. ☐ somewhat unfriendly
- d. ☐ very unfriendly

8. My worship experiences, other than Sabbath Services, include

- | | daily | frequently | infrequently |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| a. ☐ family worship: | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| b. ☐ vespers | | ☐ | ☐ |
| c. ☐ prayer meeting | | ☐ | ☐ |
| d. ☐ small group fellowships | | ☐ | ☐ |
| e. ☐ other _____ | | | |

9. In my opinion, the worship over the last six months has been best suited to the following age-group:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| a. ☐ 15-17 | d. ☐ 35-44 |
| b. ☐ 18-24 | e. ☐ 45-54 |
| c. ☐ 25-34 | f. ☐ 55+ |

10. Rank the following in the order of their importance to you as the prime purpose of your worship (1-most important)
(7-least important)

- a. ☐ "to be with my friends"
- b. ☐ "to get strength for the new week"
- c. ☐ "to be better prepared for witnessing"
- d. ☐ "to give God praise and adoration"
- e. ☐ "to relax from the cares of the week"
- f. ☐ "to be alone with God"
- g. ☐ "to get a greater knowledge of the Bible"

11. The statement which is the closest to my definition of worship is:

- a. ☐ all the activities as listed in the usual Order of Service
- b. ☐ singing and praying only
- c. ☐ any charitable, religious, or community project
- d. ☐ acknowledging God's attributes through praise
- e. ☐ the sermon

12. Please number in order of preference the worship services listed below that you find most enjoyable (1- most enjoyable) (5- least enjoyable)

- a. ☐ Special Feature Service (e.g. Festival of the Family,
Festival of Music, etc. hymns,
special items, etc.)
- b. ☐ Communion Service (footwashing, emblems)
- c. ☐ Formal Service (traditional preliminaries, 30 min. service)
- d. ☐ Testimony Service (short service, testimonies)
- e. ☐ Informal Service (extended song service, interviews, etc.
30 min. sermon)

13. How strongly do you agree/disagree with the following statements?:

SA - strongly agree

A - agree

U - uncertain

D - disagree

SD - strongly disagree

	SA	A	U	D	SD
a. I believe the service should keep to the same format every week.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
b. I feel the need to worship God but find it very difficult at church....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
c. I worship God best when I am alone..	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
d. Worship at church is not fulfilling my needs for fellowship.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
e. I would take part in the worship service if I was actually invited to do so.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
f. I am satisfied with my own level of participation during the church service.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
g. The Sabbath Services satisfy all my weekly worship needs.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
h. I think the degree of a person's participation in the church service is related to his/her personality...	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
i. It is possible to worship by identifying with a person doing a special item up the front.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
j. a person who takes part in worship will enjoy it more.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
k. I believe there is a difference between praise and worship.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
l. my weekly worship is virtually meaningless.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
m. clapping hands while singing in church is quite acceptable.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
n. I can be participating in worship even though I am sitting quite still.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

14. If I was invited to take part in the church service (e.g. leading out in prayer, taking up offering, taking scripture reading, being interviewed, etc.) I would usually:

- I. Accept a. ☐ without hesitation
 b. ☐ after some thought
 c. ☐ only with a lot of persuasion
- II. Decline a. ☐ without hesitation
 b. ☐ after some thought

If you chose II for your answer, please complete the following:

I chose II because _____

15. Participating to a greater degree in the church service would enhance and enrich my worship:

- a. ☐ in no way at all
 b. ☐ in a distinct and marked way
 c. ☐ in a limited way.

16. If I was to assess the opportunities that are available to me for participating in the church service, I would say that,

- a. ☐ there are plenty of opportunities available to me
 b. ☐ there are no opportunities available to me
 c. ☐ there are limited opportunities available to me

If you chose b. for your answer, please complete the following:

I chose b. because _____

17. If, during the church service, I was invited to participate in an activity while remaining in the pew (e.g. filling out a worksheet, discussing some issue with the person beside me, praying with the person beside me, etc.) I would usually:

- I. Accept a. ☐ without hesitation
 b. ☐ after some thought
 c. ☐ only with a lot of persuasion
- II. Decline a. ☐ without hesitation
 b. ☐ after some thought

If you chose II for your answer, please complete the following:

I chose II because _____

18. Estimate the number of times you have been invited to assist in the service during the last six months.

- a. ☐ more than 10 times
b. ☐ never
c. ☐ 5-10 times
d. ☐ once
e. ☐ 2-4 times

How many invitations did you accept (approximately)?

- a. ☐ 100%
b. ☐ 50%
c. ☐ 0%
d. ☐ 25%
e. ☐ 75%

Now just a few personal details to help with our statistics:

1. male ☐ female ☐
2. married ☐ never married ☐ divorced ☐ widowed ☐
3. age 15-17 ☐ 35-44 ☐
18-24 ☐ 45-54 ☐
25-34 ☐ 55+ ☐
4. I have been a baptized member of the Adventist Church
for:
0-1 year ☐ 10+ years ☐
2-3 years ☐ I am not yet baptized ☐
4-9 years ☐
5. Occupation: _____

6. I would describe myself as generally being:

- a.
- | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1
☐ | 2
☐ | 3
☐ | 4
☐ | 5
☐ |
| shy, timid | | | outgoing, extrovert | |
- b.
- | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1
☐ | 2
☐ | 3
☐ | 4
☐ | 5
☐ |
| quiet, steady | | | active, energetic | |
- c.
- | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1
☐ | 2
☐ | 3
☐ | 4
☐ | 5
☐ |
| calculating | | | | impulsive |
- d.
- | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1
☐ | 2
☐ | 3
☐ | 4
☐ | 5
☐ |
| cautious | | | | confident |

Thankyou very much. Your time and assistance is greatly appreciated.

Trafford Fischer.

APPENDIX 14
PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP SURVEY 2

PARTICIPATIVE WORSHIP SURVEY.
No. 2.

Instructions:

- A. Would you please answer all questions.
B. Place a tick in the appropriate square for each separate question.
-

1. How would you describe your relationship with Jesus?

- a. ☐ very strong
b. ☐ strong
c. ☐ fluctuating
d. ☐ weak
e. ☐ very weak

2. I have personal devotions ☐ daily

☐ several times a week

☐ once a week or less

3. How would you describe this church?

- a. ☐ very friendly
b. ☐ somewhat friendly
c. ☐ somewhat unfriendly
d. ☐ very unfriendly

4. My worship experiences, other than Sabbath Services, include

- a. ☐ family worship: ☐ daily ☐ frequently ☐ infrequently
b. ☐ vespers ☐ ☐
c. ☐ prayer meeting ☐ ☐
d. ☐ small group fellowships ☐ ☐
e. ☐ other _____

5. How strongly do you agree/disagree with the following statements?:

SA - strongly agree
 A - agree
 U - uncertain
 D - disagree
 SD - strongly disagree

	SA	A	U	D	SD
a. I believe the service should keep to the same format every week.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
b. I feel the need to worship God but find it very difficult at church....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
c. I worship God best when I am alone..	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
d. Worship at church is not fulfilling my needs for fellowship.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
e. I would take part in the worship service if I was actually invited to do so.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
f. I am satisfied with my own level of participation during the church service.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
g. The Sabbath Services satisfy all my weekly worship needs.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
h. I think the degree of a person's participation in the church service is related to his/her personality...	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
i. It is possible to worship by identifying with a person doing a special item up the front.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
j. a person who takes part in worship will enjoy it more.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
k. I believe there is a difference between praise and worship.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
l. my weekly worship is virtually meaningless.....	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

6. Rank the following (1-7) in the order of their importance to you as the prime purpose of your worship (1-most important)
(7-least important)

- a. ☐ "to be with my friends"
- b. ☐ "to get strength for the new week"
- c. ☐ "to be better prepared for witnessing"
- d. ☐ "to give God praise and adoration"
- e. ☐ "to relax from the cares of the week"
- f. ☐ "to be alone with God"
- g. ☐ "to get a greater knowledge of the Bible"

7. I think the statement in the list below that best defines worship is:

- a. ☐ all the activities as listed in the usual Order of Service
- b. ☐ singing and praying only
- c. ☐ any charitable, religious, or community project
- d. ☐ acknowledging God's attributes
- e. ☐ the sermon
- f. ☐ none of the above
- g. ☐ other _____

8. Please number in order of preference the worship services listed below that you find most enjoyable (1- most enjoyable) (5- least enjoyable)

- a. ☐ Special Feature Service (e.g. Festival of the Family, Festival of Music, etc. hymns, special items, etc.)
- b. ☐ Communion Service (footwashing, emblems)
- c. ☐ Formal Service (traditional preliminaries, 30 min. service)
- d. ☐ Testimony Service (short service, testimonies)
- e. ☐ Informal Service (extended song service, interviews, etc. 30 min sermon)

9. Participating to a greater degree in the church service would enhance and enrich my worship:

- a. ☐ in a distinct and marked way
- b. ☐ in a limited way
- c. ☐ in no way at all

10. If I was invited to take part in the church service (e.g. leading out in prayer, taking up offering, taking scripture reading, being interviewed, etc.) I would respond,

- a. ☐ without hesitation
- b. ☐ by thinking about it first
- c. ☐ only with a lot of persuasion
- d. ☐ by declining the invitation

If you chose d. for your answer, please complete the following:

I chose d. because _____

11. If, during the church service, I was invited to participate in an activity while remaining in the pew (e.g. filling out a worksheet, discussing some issue with the person beside me, praying with the person beside me, etc.) I would respond,

- a. ☐ without hesitation
- b. ☐ by thinking about it first
- c. ☐ only with a lot of persuasion
- d. ☐ by declining the invitation

If you chose d. for your answer, please complete the following:

I chose d. because _____

12. Please list three discoveries you have made about "worship," from either the seminars or the two model services, that have been most helpful to you.

1. _____
 2. _____
 3. _____

13. Using just one sentence, how would you describe the real "heart" of this series on participative worship? _____

14. The primary words used during the seminar series for participative worship were, investment, ownership, and personal response. These words conveyed a concept of participation in worship that was:

a. ☐ new to me
 b. ☐ a sharpening of a concept I had already thought about
 c. ☐ familiar to me.

15. Due to my attendance at this series (seminars and services), there has been a change in my understanding of participative worship. ☐ yes ☐ no

If yes, has this change been ☐ most significant
☐ somewhat significant
☐ not very significant at all?

Before this series, I understood participating in worship to mean _____

_____, but now I understand it to mean _____

16. I think the most helpful segment/part of all three seminars was: _____

17. How would you describe your worship over the last two Sabbaths (July 12, and 19), as compared with your 'usual' worship on previous Sabbaths: i.e. are you aware of any significant differences in the way in which you (or your family) worshipped? Would you please describe these differences? _____

18. How would you describe your "participation" in these last two services? _____

19. How valuable has this whole series been to you in helping you to understand participative worship?
- a. ☐ very valuable
 - b. ☐ valuable
 - c. ☐ of very little value
 - d. ☐ of no value at all.
20. Please use the following space to write down any comments you would like to make about this series on participative worship, which you believe would be helpful for any further study given to this particular area of church ministries.

Now just a few personal details to help with our statistics:

1. male ☐ female ☐
2. married ☐ never married ☐ divorced ☐ widowed ☐
3. age 15-17 ☐ 35-44 ☐
 18-24 ☐ 45-54 ☐
 25-34 ☐ 55+ ☐
4. I have been a baptized member of the Adventist Church
 for:
- 0-1 year ☐ 10+ years ☐
 2-3 years ☐ I am not yet baptized ☐
 4-9 years ☐
5. Occupation: _____
6. I would describe myself as generally being:

- a. 1 2 3 4 5
 ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
 shy, timid outgoing, extrovert
- b. 1 2 3 4 5
 ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
 quiet, steady active, energetic
- c. 1 2 3 4 5
 ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
 calculating impulsive
- d. 1 2 3 4 5
 ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
 cautious confident

Please accept my gratitude for all your valuable comments, and your time, efforts and energy. I have valued your strong and worthwhile contributions. May God continue to guide us as we spend time in His Presence.

Trafford A. Fischer.

APPENDIX 15

COMPARISONS BETWEEN GROUP A AND GROUP B
FROM THE RESULTS OF THE PARTICIPATIVE
WORSHIP SURVEY

COMPARISONS BETWEEN GROUP A AND GROUP B
FROM THE RESULTS OF THE PARTICIPATIVE
WORSHIP SURVEY

A. Personal Information (In percentages)

	Group A	Group B
1. Male	40.2	50.0
Female	54.4	48.5
Not filled in	5.3	1.5
2. Married	62.7	61.8
Never Married	27.8	25.0
Divorced	1.2	5.9
Widowed	1.8	1.5
Not filled in	6.5	5.9
3. Age 15-17	10.0	4.4
18-24	16.0	13.2
25-34	21.9	27.9
35-44	20.7	26.5
45-54	13.0	11.8
55+	11.2	13.2
Not filled in	7.1	2.3

4. Years of Baptism

0-1 year	5.3	2.3
2-3 years	5.9	4.4
4-9 years	16.0	20.6
10+ years	60.9	64.7
not baptised	4.7	4.4
Not filled in	7.1	2.3

B. Questions 2-18 (In percentages)Q.2 Attendance at Sabbath School

every week	75.7	73.0
2 or 3 times	18.9	16.2
once a month	0.6	0.0
less than monthly	1.7	4.4
not at all	1.7	2.9
Not filled in	1.2	2.9

Attendance at Church

every week	85.2	76.5
2 or 3 times	6.5	17.6
once a month	3.5	1.5
less than monthly	0.6	0.0
not at all	0.6	0.0
Not filled in	3.5	4.4

Q.3	<u>Regular Attendance at Avondale College Church</u>		71.6	92.6
	Visitor		28.4	7.4
Q.4	<u>Currently Holding Church Office</u>			
	Yes		23.1	38.2
	No		75.2	61.8
	Not filled in		1.7	0.0
Q.5	<u>Relationship with Jesus</u>			
	very strong		19.5	29.4
	strong		51.5	54.4
	weak		18.3	10.3
	very weak		2.3	1.5
	Not filled in		8.3	4.4
Q.6	<u>Personal Devotions</u>			
	Daily		46.1	57.3
	Several times a week		30.0	27.9
	once a week or less		23.1	11.8
	Not filled in		1.8	2.9
Q.7	<u>A Description of The Church</u>			
	Very friendly		21.9	44.1
	Somewhat friendly		59.2	44.1
	Somewhat unfriendly		10.6	7.4
	Very unfriendly		1.8	0.0
	Not filled in		6.5	4.4

Q.8 Worship Experiences

Family worship	83.4	82.3
Vespers	46.1	63.2
Prayer meetings	24.3	23.5
Small group fellowships	33.7	39.7
Other	10.6	16.2
Not filled in	4.1	1.5

Q.9 Age Group Worship
Best Suited To

15-17	8.9	7.3
18-24	21.3	23.5
25-34	31.4	39.7
35-44	33.1	36.8
45-54	19.5	27.9
55+	13.6	27.9
Not filled in	23.7	17.6

Q.10 The Prime Purpose
of Worship

a. To be with friends	6.5	2.9
b. To get strength for new week	14.8	19.1
c. Be better prepared for witnessing	3.5	0.0
d. Give God praise and adoration	43.8	48.5
e. Relax from the cares of the week	7.1	2.9
f. To be alone with God	8.3	14.7
g. To get greater knowledge of Bible	10.0	7.3
Not filled in	5.9	4.4

Q.11 Statement closest to
Definition of Worship

a. All listed activities of order of service	18.3	14.7
b. Singing and praying	1.8	0.0
c. Charitable, religious, or community project	8.9	11.8
d. Acknowledge God's attributes	51.5	58.8
e. The sermon	9.5	14.7
Not filled in	10.1	0.0

Q.12 Most Enjoyable Worship Service

a. Special Feature	30.8	33.8
b. Communion service	7.7	5.9
c. Formal service	17.2	13.2
d. Testimony service	7.1	7.3
e. Informal service	26.6	32.3
Not filled in	10.6	7.3

Q.13 Attitude Check (Agree - Disagree)

Group A						Group B					
SA	A	U	D	SD	NFI	SA	A	U	D	SD	NFI
a. 2.9	14.2	11.8	38.5	27.8	4.7	7.3	5.9	7.3	50.0	27.9	1.5
b. 3.5	11.2	6.5	50.3	23.7	4.7	7.3	7.3	5.9	42.6	27.9	8.8
c. 13.6	24.3	13.6	42.6	2.4	3.5	14.7	17.6	10.3	42.6	13.2	2.9
d. 4.1	21.3	13.0	41.4	16.0	4.1	4.4	16.2	10.3	41.2	25.0	5.9
e. 10.1	46.1	20.7	11.8	7.1	4.1	16.2	42.6	22.0	7.3	7.3	4.4
f. 5.3	46.1	14.8	26.0	2.9	4.7	10.3	35.3	14.7	27.9	8.8	2.9
g. 0.6	14.8	9.5	45.0	27.2	2.9	1.5	14.7	7.3	41.2	29.4	5.9
h. 8.9	58.6	7.7	13.0	5.9	5.9	11.8	45.6	10.3	16.2	8.8	7.3
i. 8.3	59.8	10.6	14.8	2.4	4.1	22.0	57.3	11.8	1.5	0.0	7.3
j. 19.5	47.3	13.0	13.0	2.4	4.7	30.9	42.6	5.9	14.7	1.5	4.4
k. 7.1	29.0	19.5	34.9	4.7	4.7	7.3	22.0	16.2	32.3	16.2	5.9
l. 2.9	7.1	8.9	44.4	31.4	5.3	0.0	4.4	5.9	42.6	42.6	4.4
m. 12.4	18.3	18.9	18.9	27.2	4.1	13.2	33.8	11.8	20.6	16.2	4.4
n. 31.4	55.6	5.3	2.4	1.8	3.5	35.3	52.9	4.4	2.9	0.0	4.4

Legend:

SA-Strongly Agree A-Agree U-Uncertain

D-Disagree SD-Strongly Disagree NFI-Not Filled In

Q.14 Taking Part in
the Church Service

Accept

a. Without hesitation	36.7	54.4
b. After some thought	28.4	29.4
c. Only with persuasion	16.6	7.3

Decline

a. Without hesitation	9.5	1.5
b. After some thought	4.1	4.4
Not filled in	4.7	2.9

Q.15 Participation to Enhance
and Enrich Worship

a. In no way at all	12.4	10.3
b. In a distinct and marked way	29.6	55.9
c. In a limited way	50.1	29.4
Not filled in	7.1	4.4

Q.16 Available Opportunities
For Participation

a. Plenty of opportunities	24.3	29.4
b. No opportunities	10.0	7.3
c. Limited opportunities	56.8	60.3
Not filled in	8.9	2.9

Q.17 Participate in Church
Service from the Pew

Accept

a. Without hesitation	59.8	73.5
b. After some thought	22.5	14.7
c. Only with persuasion	8.9	4.4

Decline

a. Without hesitation	1.2	0.0
b. After some thought	1.2	2.9
Not Filled in	7.1	2.9

Q.18 Invitations to
Assist in the Service

a. More than 10 times	3.5	2.9
b. Never	59.8	54.4
c. 5-10 times	4.7	5.9
d. once	8.3	14.7
e. 2-4 times	16.0	17.6
Not filled in	7.6	4.4

Invitations accepted

a. 100%	24.8	44.1
b. 50%	1.8	1.5
c. 0%	25.4	14.7
d. 25%	2.4	1.5
e. 75%	4.1	2.9
Not filled in	41.4	35.3

APPENDIX 16

COMPARISONS BETWEEN GROUP A AND SUBJECT
GROUP FROM PARTICIPATIVE
WORSHIP SURVEY 2

COMPARISONS BETWEEN GROUP B AND SUBJECT
GROUP FROM PARTICIPATIVE
WORSHIP SURVEY 2

	Group B	Subject Group
A. <u>Personal Information</u> (In percentages)		
1. Male	50.0	60.7
Female	48.5	35.7
Not filled in	1.5	3.6
2. Married	61.8	64.3
Never Married	25.0	25.0
Divorced	5.9	3.6
Widowed	1.5	0.0
Not filled in	5.8	7.1
3. Age 15-17	4.4	10.7
18-24	13.2	10.7
25-34	27.9	21.5
35-44	26.5	28.6
45-54	11.8	7.1
55+	13.2	17.8
4. Years of Baptism		
0-1 year	2.3	0.0
2-3 years	4.4	7.1
4-9 years	20.6	10.7
10+ years	64.7	75.0
Not yet baptised	4.4	3.6

B. Questions 1-3; 5-11.
(In percentages)

	Group B	Subject Group
Q.1 <u>Relationship with Jesus</u>		
very strong	29.4	14.3
strong	54.4	57.1
weak	10.3	0.0
very weak	1.5	0.0
in between; not filled in (5 July)	4.4	
Fluctuating (25 July)		28.6
Q.2 <u>Personal Devotions</u>		
Daily	57.3	64.4
Several times a week	27.9	28.6
Once a week or less	11.8	7.0
Not filled in	2.9	0.0
Q.3 <u>A Description of The Church</u>		
Very friendly	44.1	21.4
Somewhat friendly	44.1	71.4
Somewhat unfriendly	7.4	7.0
Very unfriendly	0.0	0.0
Not filled in; in between categories	4.4	0.0

Q.5 Attitude Check (Agree - Disagree)

Group B						Subject Group					
SA	A	U	D	SD	NFI	SA	A	U	D	SD	NFI
a. 7.3	5.9	7.3	50.0	27.9	1.5	0.0	3.6	3.6	32.1	60.7	0.0
b. 7.3	7.3	5.9	42.6	27.9	8.8	0.0	7.1	0.0	71.4	21.4	0.0
c. 14.7	17.6	10.3	42.6	13.2	2.9	3.6	35.7	10.7	46.4	3.6	0.0
d. 4.4	16.2	10.3	41.2	25.0	5.9	7.1	14.3	10.7	60.7	7.1	0.0
e. 16.2	42.6	22.0	7.3	7.3	4.4	25.0	53.6	3.6	7.1	10.7	0.0
f. 10.3	35.3	14.7	27.9	8.8	2.9	7.1	50.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0
g. 1.5	14.7	7.3	41.2	29.4	5.9	0.0	7.1	14.3	42.8	35.7	0.0
h. 11.8	45.6	10.3	16.2	8.8	7.3	14.3	46.4	17.8	17.8	3.6	0.0
i. 22.0	57.3	11.8	1.5	0.0	7.3	17.8	78.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
j. 30.9	42.6	5.9	14.7	1.5	4.4	21.4	57.1	10.7	10.7	0.0	0.0
k. 7.3	22.0	16.2	32.3	16.2	5.9	10.7	35.7	17.8	28.6	7.1	0.0
l. 0.0	4.4	5.9	42.6	42.6	4.4	0.0	3.6	3.6	42.8	50.0	0.0

Legend:

SA-Strongly Agree A-Agree U-Uncertain
D-Disagree SD-Strongly Disagree NFI-Not Filled In

Q.6 The Prime Purpose
of Worship

	Group B	Subject Group
a. To be with friends	2.9	3.6
b. To get strength for new week	19.1	10.7
c. Be better prepared for witnessing	0.0	0.0
d. Give God praise and adoration	48.5	64.4
e. Relax from the cares of the week	2.9	0.0
f. To be alone with God	14.7	10.7
g. To get greater knowledge of Bible	7.3	3.6
Not filled in	4.4	7.0

Q.7 Statement Closest to
Definition of Worship

a. All listed activities of order of service	14.7	0.0
b. Singing and praying	0.0	0.0
c. Charitable, religious, or community project	11.8	7.0
d. Acknowledge God's attributes	58.8	64.4
e. The sermon	14.7	0.0
f. None of the above (25 July)		14.3
g. Other (25 July)		14.3

Q.8 Most Enjoyable Worship Service

a. Special Feature	33.8	32.1
b. Communion service	5.9	0.0
c. Formal service	13.2	3.6
d. Testimony service	7.3	3.6
e. Informal service	32.3	57.1
Not filled in	7.3	3.6

Q.9 Participation to Enhance and Enrich Worship

a. In no way at all	10.3	3.6
b. In a distinct and marked way	55.9	57.1
c. In a limited way	29.4	39.3
Not filled in	4.4	0.0

Q.10 Take Part in the Church Service

Accept

a. Without hesitation	54.4	57.1
b. After some thought	29.4	32.1
c. Only with persuasion	7.3	10.7

Decline

a. Without hesitation	1.5	0.0
b. After some thought	4.4	0.0
Not filled in	2.9	0.0

Q.11 Participate in Church
Service from the Pew

Accept

a. Without hesitation	73.5	78.6
b. After some thought	14.7	21.4
c. Only with persuasion	4.4	0.0

Decline

a. Without hesitation	0.0	3.6
b. After some thought	2.9	0.0
Not filled in	2.9	0.0

APPENDIX 17
ORDER OF SERVICE
5 JULY

AVONDALE COLLEGE SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH



A message from Pastor Trafford Fischer,
Sabbath, July 5.


Hi,

Worship is a time of giving and receiving. We give to God honour and praise, and we receive in return the assurance of his love and constant presence. We give to Him our expressions of love and gratitude because He first loved us, and we find He returns to us further tokens of His love and kindness.

So take the time to give today, with all your heart and mind and strength. Give to God of your love, and you will find His love will be given back to you.

May you sense the presence of His Spirit, and be strengthened in this time of praise and prayer.

Yours in His love,
Trafford



A CARING CHURCH

DIVINE SERVICE

Worship Commences at 10:55 a.m.

WE GATHER

in meditation
in call to worship
for pastoral greeting

Pastor Lyell Heise

WE PRAISE

in hymn of celebration I *Praise to the Lord*
in prayer Ruth Webster
in tithes and offerings--Local Church Budget
in dedicatory prayer Maurice Ashton

WE PROCLAIM

to the children Chris and Kerry Boddey
in music *Morning Comes When You Call* Marie Alford
Lyn Wrangmore
in spoken word **PLACING STONES IN HIS PRESENCE**
Pastor Trafford Fischer

WE RESPOND

with hymn of dedication ⁴
Praise, My Soul, the King of Heaven
in benediction Pastor Trafford Fischer
with organ praise

Worship Host Lyell Heise
Presiding Elder Harwood Lockton
Organist Warren Simmons
Alan Thrift
Yvonne Thrift

WORSHIP SERIES


Our church has the privilege of a "three-Sabbath-opportunity" to think again about worship and to participate in fresh and valuable ways.



Don't miss Sabbath, July 12,
FESTIVAL OF THE FAMILY
hosted by
Pastors Trafford Fischer and
Lyle Heise.

Sabbath July 19 concludes the series.

Three special seminars will explore the idea of "Participation in Worship." Pastor Fischer is grateful for the commitment of over 40 people already, to attend this Seminar series. If you would like to attend, on Friday evenings, July 11, 18 and 25 at 7:30 p.m. in the Youth/Fellowship Room you should contact Pastor Fischer today, or phone him on 772368.



HYMN NO. 1

PRaise TO THE LORD

Praise to the Lord, the Almighty,
the King of creation!
O my soul, praise Him, for He is thy
health and salvation!
All ye who hear,
Now to His temple draw near;
Join ye in glad adoration!

Praise to the Lord, who o'er all
things so wondrously reigneth,
Shieldeth thee under His wings, yea,
so gently sustaineth!
Hast thou not seen
How thy desires e'er have been
Granted in what He ordaineth?

Praise to the Lord, who doth prosper
thy work and defend thee;
Surely His goodness and mercy here
daily attend thee.
Ponder anew
What the Almighty can do
If with His love He befriend thee.

HYMN NO. 4

PRaise, MY SOUL, THE KING OF HEAVEN

Praise, my soul, the King of heaven;
To His feet thy tribute bring:
Ransomed, he led, restored, forgiven,
Who like thee His praise should sing?
Praise Him, praise Him, alleluia,
Praise the everlasting King.

Praise Him for His grace and favor
To our fathers in distress;
Praise Him, still the same forever,
Slow to chide and swift to bless;
Praise Him, praise Him, alleluia,
Glorious in His faithfulness.

Tenderly He shields and spares us;
Well our feeble frame He knows;
In His hands He gently hears us,
Rescues us from all our foes:
Praise Him, praise Him, alleluia,
Widely as His mercy flows.

Angels, help us to adore Him;
Ye behold Him face to face;
Sun and moon bow down before Him;
Dwellers all in time and space,
Praise Him, praise Him, alleluia,
Praise with us the God of grace.

REMEMBER

9:30 a.m. SABBATH SCHOOL -- Bible Study for all the family.

Today: Dr. Schwantes, born in Brazil, is taking our Mission Focus, and Dr. Young has our lesson.



APPENDIX 18
ORDER OF SERVICE

12 JULY

FESTIVAL OF THE FAMILY
JULY 12, 1986

EVENT	PEOPLE INVOLVED	INSTRUCTIONS	MICROPHONES
10.40 FAMILY GREETINGS AND BULLETIN DISTRIBUTION AT FRONT DOORS.	Driscolls and Millers		
10.45 MUSIC PRELUDE	Lyell, Charles, Valmai		2 synth lines to mixer
10.50 SONG SERVICE	Lyell, Charles, Valmai Singers: Lyn Low, Lyn Wrankmore, Brian Keitley, Michael Chamberlain, 2 school children. Songs: <u>12 Joyful Joyful</u> <u>A Gentle Holy Spirit</u> <u>B Abba Father</u> <u>547 Christ Be My Leader</u> <u>Ch To God Be The Glory</u> <u>A Gentle Holy Spirit</u>	Choir lights off OHP ready Trafford to rostrum	2 Synth lines 1 mic - Lyell 5 mics for singers
11.15 SERVICE INTRODUCTION	Trafford Fischer		1 mic on stand on
11.20 SONG	Lyell leads and introduces prayer <u>Ch Be Still and Know</u> Congregation standing for last verse	Lindsays to microphones	2 hand held
PRAYER	Alan and Deirdre Lindsay	Music during prayer	
SONG	<u>Ch The Lord's Prayer</u> Lyell leads, with singers and instrumentalists	Locktons to the rostrum	
11.25 INTERVIEW	Trafford interviews the Lockton family		4 mics hand hand held
SCRIPTURE READING	Harwood Lockton leads the congregation to stand and read from the overhead		Lockton retain their mics

EVENT	PEOPLE INVOLVED	INSTRUCTIONS	MICROPHONES
11.33 SERMONETTE	Trafford Fischer	Locktons leave	Hand-held
11.40 SPECIAL MUSIC	Lyell introduces Kristy Low <u>"God is Missing a Child"</u>		Mic for Lyell at keyboard. Mic for Kristy on rostrum. 2 Synth
11.45 MEMORIAL FEATURE	Lyell talks about our memories of Tony Timms and Karen Hansen Michelle presents flowers Lyell concludes with prayer		Hand held mic mic for Lyell and Michelle Young.
11.50 OFFERING	Trafford announces offering and Lisa Driscoll providing offertory on the piano	Jane to the rostrum	
11.53 INTERVIEW	Trafford interviews Jane Fernandez		2 hand held mics on rostrum
11.58 INTERVIEW	Lyell interviews the Chapman family - Murray Bev, Paul and Andrew		4 hand held mics
12.05 CONCLUSION	Trafford concludes, with Lyell and the Chapmans remaining on stage.		Either a hand held, or mic on stand
12.10 BENEDICTION	Murray Chapman		
GREETINGS	Lindsays Jane Fernandez Chapmans, Locktons Stickers given to children at the doors on the way out by the Chapman and Lockton children.	To side doors To front foyer	Live music from synths and bass

APPENDIX 19
ORDER OF SERVICE
19 JULY

COLLEGE CHURCH SERVICE
Sabbath, July 19

EVENT	PEOPLE INVOLVED	INSTRUCTIONS	MICROPHONES
10.40 YOUTH GREETINGS: BULLETINS AT DOORS	Seminar Youth		
10.45 MUSIC PRELUDE	Lyell		Synth lines
10.50 SONG SERVICE	Lyell, Valmai, Rod College Church Brass	Stage lights off OHP ready	2 synth 1 mic-Lyell 4 mics for singers
	Songs:		
	<u>10 Come Christians</u>	Children one verse	
	<u>189 All That Thrills My Soul</u>		
	<u>305 Give Me Jesus</u>	No Brass	
	<u>ch4 To God Be the Glory</u>	Stand, final time	
	<u>108 Amazing Grace</u>	Sing in 5 keys Brass for last verse	
	<u>30 Holy God We Praise</u>	Stand for final verse	
	<u>ch1 Into Thy Presence</u>	No Brass	
	<u>A Gentle Holy Spirit</u>	No Brass	
	<u>674 Shalom</u>	With Brass	
		Trafford to rostrum during Shalom	
11.15 SERVICE INTRODUCTION	Trafford Fischer		
11.17 MUSIC AND WORSHIP	Trafford, Lyell, Fred		3 hh mics on rostrum
11.20 CHILDREN'S STORY	Rob Pullar		radio or headset

EVENT	PEOPLE INVOLVED	INSTRUCTIONS	MICROPHONES
11.25 OFFERING	Lyell announces Seminar Youth collect Orchestra Offertory - <u>Prelude</u> One of the youth bringing offering to the front, prays the prayer		
11.30 SCRIPTURE READING	Lyndon Hughes Matt 6:5-15	Calls congregation to stand - read from OHP	
11.33 MUSIC AND PRAYER	Cal Durrant Song Cal leads into congregation praying Lisa Pascoe concludes with public prayer	Cal introduces the song-prayer idea Music continues in background	1 mic stand 1 mic boom synth lines 1 mic with singers
11.40 SERVICE FEATURE	Rob Pullar		radio headset
12.00 CONCLUDING PRAYER	Rob	Music begins in Background Place OHP for <u>Gentle Holy Spirit</u>	
12.02 CONCLUDING SONG	Lyell leads <u>A Gentle Holy Spirit</u> final two verses, concluding with farewell	no brass	
12.04 POSTLUDE	Orchestra - <u>Song of Joy</u>	Rob, Trafford and Youth to doors	

APPENDIX 20
OVERHEAD TRANSPARENCY-SEMINAR THREE
BLOCKS TO EFFECTIVE WORSHIP

OVERHEAD TRANSPARENCY-SEMINAR THREE

BLOCKS TO EFFECTIVE WORSHIP

"BLOCKS" TO EFFECTIVE WORSHIP

1. INADEQUATE THEOLOGY OF WORSHIP.
2. INAPPROPRIATE ATTITUDINAL APPROACH - Giving or getting
- Communication or information
3. WRONG UNDERSTANDING OF THE NATURE OF MAN.
4. MISUNDERSTANDING OF THE ROLE OF THE CLERGY AND LAITY.
5. WRONG CONCEPTS OF HOLINESS AND PIETY.
6. INABILITY TO ACCEPT GROWTH THROUGH CHANGE.
7. LACK OF FELLOWSHIP, NURTURE, AND MISSION.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

Books

- Adams, Jack A. Learning and Memory: An Introduction.
The Dorsey Series in Psychology. Edited by
Howard F. Hunt. Homewood, Illinois: Dorsey
Press, 1976.
- Allen, Ronald, and Borrer, Gordon. Worship:
Rediscovering the Missing Jewel. Portland,
Oregon: Multnomah Press, 1982.
- Andreasen, Niels-Erik A. The Christian Use of Time.
Nashville, Tennessee: Abingdon, 1978.
- Babbage, Stuart Barton. Man in Nature and Grace.
Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1957.
- Banks, Robert. Paul's Idea of Community: The Early
House Churches in their Historical Setting.
Surrey Hills, Sydney: Anzea Publishers, 1979.
- Baxter, Edna M. Learning to Worship. Valley Forge,
Pennsylvania: Judson Press, 1965.
- Belkin, Gary S., and Gray, Jerry L. Educational
Psychology: An Introduction. Dubuque, Iowa:
Wm. C. Brown, 1977.
- Berkouwer, G. C. Man: The Image of God. Grand
Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1962.
- Blackwood, Andrew W. The Fine Art of Public Worship.
New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, 1939.
- Byrt, William J. The Human Variable: Text and Cases
in Organizational Behaviour. Sydney,
McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1980.
- Christensen, James L. Don't Waste Your Time In
Worship. Old Tappan, New Jersey: Fleming H.
Revell, 1978.
- Coffin, Henry Sloan. The Public Worship of God.
London: Independent Press, 1950.

Cornwall, Judson. Elements of Worship. South Plainfield, New Jersey: Bridge Publishing, 1985.

_____. Let Us Worship. South Plainfield, New Jersey: Bridge Publishing, 1983.

Davies, J. G. Liturgical Dance: An Historical, Theological and Practise Handbook. London: SCM Press, 1984.

De Fleur, Melvin L.; D'Antonio, William V.; and De Fleur, Lois B. Sociology: Human Society. Glenview, Illinois: Scott Foresman and Company, 1973.

Delling, Gerhard. Worship in the New Testament. Translated by Percy Scott. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1962.

Dunlop, Collin. Anglican Public Worship. London: SCM Press, 1953.

Edgar, William. In Spirit and In Truth. Downers Grove, Illinois: InterVarsity Press, 1976.

Edwards, Rex, D. A New Frontier: Every Believer a Minister. Mountain View, California: Pacific Press, 1979.

Egan, Gerard. You and Me: The Skills of Communicating and Relating to Others. Monterey, California: Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, 1977.

Eller, Vernard. In Place of Sacraments: A Study of Baptism and the Lord's Supper. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1972.

Flynn, Leslie B. Worship: Together We Celebrate. Wheaton, Illinois: Victor Books, 1983.

Gordon, Thomas. Leader Effectiveness Training. Camberwell, London: Futura Publications, 1977.

Griffin, Em. Getting Together: A Guide for Good Groups. Downers Grove, Illinois: InterVarsity Press, 1982.

Grunlin, Stephen A., and Reimer, Milton, eds. Christian Perspectives on Sociology. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Zondervan Publishing House, 1982.

- Guinness, Os. The Grave Digger File. London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1983.
- Hauck, Friedrich. "Koinos." Theological Dictionary of the New Testament. Edited by Gerhard Kittel. Translated and edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1964-76. 3:789-809.
- Holmes, C. Raymond. Sing a New Song: Worship Renewal for Adventists Today. Berrien Springs, Michigan: Andrews University Press, 1984.
- Hoon, Paul Waitman. The Integrity of Worship: Ecumenical and Pastoral Studies in Liturgical Theology. Nashville, Tennessee: Abingdon Press, 1971.
- Johnson, David W., and Johnson, Frank P. Joining Together: Group Therapy and Group Skills. 22d ed. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1982.
- Kraybill, Donald B. The Upside-Down Kingdom. Scottdale, Pennsylvania: Herald Press, 1978.
- Krech, David; Crutchfield, Richard S.; and Livson, Norman. Elements of Psychology. 2d ed. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1969.
- Lahey, Benjamin B., and Johnson, Marthur S., Psychology and Instruction: A Practical Approach to Educational Psychology. Glenview, Illinois: Scott, Foresman and Company, 1978.
- Logan, Frank A., and Gordon, William C. Fundamentals of Learning and Motivation. 3d ed. Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown, 1969.
- Martin, Ralph P. The Family and the Fellowship: New Testament Images of the Church. Exeter: The Paternoster Press, 1979.
- _____. The Spirit and the Congregation. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1984.
- _____. The Worship of God: Some Theological, Pastoral and Practical Reflections. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1982.
- _____. Worship in the Early Church. London: Marshall, Morgan and Scott, 1964.

- Millikan, David. The Sunburnt Soul: Christianity in Search of an Australian Identity. Homebush West, Sydney: Anzea Publishers, 1981.
- Mitchell, Robert H. Ministry and Music. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1978.
- Moule, C. F. D. Worship in the New Testament. Edited by J. G. Davies and Raymond George. London: Lutterworth Press, 1961.
- New Life Centre Young People's Ministries. Drama and Dance in the Local Church. Christchurch, New Zealand: Majestic House, 1983.
- Oosterwal, Gottfried. Mission Possible: The Challenge of Mission Today. Nashville, Tennessee: Southern Publishing, 1972.
- Oosterwal, Gottfried; Staples, Russell L.; Douglas, Walter B. T.; and Turner, R. Edward. Servants for Christ: The Adventist Church Facing the '80s. Edited by Robert E. Firth. Berrien Springs, Michigan: Andrews University Press, 1980.
- Ortlund, Anne. Up With Worship: How to Quit Playing Church. Ventura, California: Regal Books, 1982.
- Pease, Norval F. And Worship Him. Nashville, Tennessee: Southern Publishing, 1967.
- Rylaarsdam, J. C. "Booths, Feast of." Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible. Edited by G. A. Buttrick. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1962. 1:455-58.
- Segler, Franklin M. Christian Worship: Its Theology and Practise. Nashville, Tennessee: Broadman Press, 1967.
- Shaffer, Floyd. If I Were A Clown. Minneapolis: Augsburg Publishing House, 1984.
- Shephard, Massey H., Jr. The Worship and the Church. New York: Seabury Press, 1952.
- Stevenson, Geoffrey, and Stevenson, Judith. Steps of Faith: A Practical Introduction to Mime and Dance. Eastbourne: Kingsway Publications, 1984.

- Triandis, Harry C. Attitude and Attitude Change. Wiley Foundations of Psychology Series. Edited by Daniel Katz. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1971.
- Vergheese, Paul. The Joy of Freedom. Ecumenical Studies in Worship Series, no. 17. Richmond, Virginia: John Knox Press, 1967.
- Webber, Robert E. Worship: Old and New. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Zondervan Publishing House, 1982.
- White, Ellen G. The Desire of Ages. Mountain View, California: Pacific Press, 1940.
- White, James F. New Forms of Worship. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1971.
- _____. The Worldliness of Worship. New York: Oxford University Press, 1967.

Articles

- Davies, Horton. "Jacob's Ladder . . . A Study of Experiment and Tradition in Modern Christian Worship." Encounter. 39 (1978): 367-83.
- Ogasapian, John. "Music Programs and Music Ministries." Journal of Church Music, 26 (1984): 2-32.
- Spangler, J. Robert. "Spectator or Participator." Ministry, November 1980, p. 23.
- Tietjen, John H. "Worship and the Life of the Church." Concordia Theological Monthly 43 (1972): 144-54.
- Webber, Robert E. "Church Buildings: Shapes of Worship." Christianity Today, 7 August 1981, pp. 18-20.
- Zurcher, Jean R. "The Christian View of Man." Andrews University Seminary Studies 2 (1964): 156-168; 3 (1965): 66-83; 4 (1966): 89-103.