

Avondale University

ResearchOnline@Avondale

Education Conference Papers

School of Education and Science

7-8-2021

Acknowledging the Affirmative: Evidence of Supervision Learning Thresholds in Thesis Acknowledgements

Maria T. Northcote

Avondale University College, maria.northcote@avondale.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: https://research.avondale.edu.au/edu_conferences



Part of the [Adult and Continuing Education Commons](#), [Adult and Continuing Education and Teaching Commons](#), [Educational Administration and Supervision Commons](#), [Higher Education Commons](#), and the [Higher Education and Teaching Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Northcote, M. (2021, July 7-9). *Acknowledging the affirmative: Evidence of supervision learning thresholds in thesis acknowledgements* [Paper presentation]. 8th Biennial Threshold Concepts Conference 2021, London, United Kingdom.

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Education and Science at ResearchOnline@Avondale. It has been accepted for inclusion in Education Conference Papers by an authorized administrator of ResearchOnline@Avondale. For more information, please contact alicia.starr@avondale.edu.au.

Title:

Acknowledging the affirmative: Evidence of supervision learning thresholds in thesis acknowledgements

Author, position and affiliation

Professor Maria Northcote (maria.northcote@avondale.edu.au)

Director Higher Research Degrees

Avondale University College, Lake Macquarie, New South Wales, Australia

Keywords:

learning thresholds; postgraduate supervision; thesis acknowledgements

Abstract

Mantai and Dowling (2015) refer to the Acknowledgements pages of higher degree research (HDR) theses as “an under-utilised yet rich data source” (p. 106) and Hyland (2004) recognises the way in which thesis Acknowledgements have the potential to “reveal academic preferences” and “point to the processes of its [the thesis’] creation” (p. 305). Using a matrix analysis technique (Miles & Huberman, 2013; Patton, 2015), this study mines the Acknowledgements section of a sample of 120 Masters and Doctoral theses to investigate HDR graduates’ views of their postgraduate supervisors to augment our current understanding of the learning thresholds of HDR supervisors.

Based on the conference sub-theme of “Troublesome not tricky: not all that challenges is a threshold”, this paper considers the more constructive nuances of threshold concept theory in relation to the learning thresholds of postgraduate supervisors. Instead of furthering the discussion that threshold concepts have become synonymous with learner difficulty, the question posed is: What do the affirmative and joyous experiences of postgraduate supervision have to offer threshold concept theory associated with the pedagogy of supervision? This study layers the viewpoints of HDR graduates’ positive experiences about supervision alongside some of the more negative experiences of supervisors that typically incorporate “darker themes”, “threats”, “ordeals” and “disorientation” (Carter, 2016, pp. 1139, 1145) as well as “barriers”, “power conflicts” and “tensions” (Ismail, Majid, & Ismail, 2013, pp. 165, 168).

This study’s findings do not discount the challenging aspects of HDR supervision, as represented in earlier research. Instead, an intertwined representation is offered of the challenging “living through” experiences of the HDR supervisor with the rosier “looking back” views from HDR candidates at the completion stage of their studies. A collection of light *and* dark learning thresholds, acquired by HDR supervisors while developing a pedagogy of supervision, is offered for consideration.

References

- Carter, S. (2016). Supervision learning as conceptual threshold crossing: When supervision gets ‘medieval’. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 35(6), 1139-1152.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2016.1160875>

- Carter, S., & Sterm, S. (2014). *The hardest step is over the threshold: Supervision learning as threshold crossing*. Paper presented at the 11th Biennial Quality in Postgraduate Research Conference, The National Wine Centre, Adelaide.
http://www.qpr.edu.au/Proceedings/QPR_Proceedings_2014.pdf
- Hyland, K. (2004). Graduates' gratitude: the generic structure of dissertation acknowledgements. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23(3), 303-324. doi:doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(03)00051-6
- Ismail, H. M., Majid, F. A., & Ismail, I. S. (2013). "It's complicated" relationship: Research students' perspective on doctoral supervision. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 90, 165-170. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.07.078>
- Mantai, L., & Dowling, R. (2015). Supporting the PhD journey: Insights from acknowledgements. *International Journal for Researcher Development*, 6(2), 106-121.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (2013). *Qualitative data analysis* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc.