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The higher view of education

John Skrzypaszek
Director, Ellen G. White/SDA Research Centre, Avondale College, NSW

Aim
This paper aims to explore the relevancy of Ellen White’s book, Education, in a postmodern setting. Further, it intends to address whether the guiding influence of the Prophetic Gift is still relevant to Adventist education. This discussion is based on the writer’s personal conviction that at certain crucial times God takes the initiative to communicate. To follow through such a position one needs to explore what God expects us to hear? What are the central themes of His communiqué? How relevant are they to life in the contemporary day and age?

Preamble
Even though the postmodern paradigm is fading into history, society continues to struggle with the aftermath of the postmodern ethos. Grenz defines postmodern consciousness as “the loss of the centre.” Middleton and Walsh speak of life as “cast adrift, exposed, uprooted and above all frightened.” Further, they propose that postmodern life is engulfed with fear of environmental destruction, fear of economic insecurity, fear about sexual immorality and the fear of odd ideas confronting every day life. McGrath refers to postmodernism as “the world beyond comprehensibility and mastery.”

Makay, a psychologist and social researcher, speaks of the deep-seated insecurity within the Australian society. He credits the fear of the future, and the internal human insecurities and doubts to the lack of self-confidence, self-respect and self-esteem. Postmodern philosophy shaped a pathway of uncertainty, subjectivity and pluralism. It began a frantic deconstruction of God’s purpose for the spiritual, mental and physical development of human potential. Interestingly, Mackay suggests that at the same time, “we are almost instinctively attracted to the confident voice; the strong leader.” He reasons the “moral vacuums” of human life “yearn to be filled.”

In what way can Seventh-day Adventist education respond to the needs of the contemporary world? Does it have a voice, which gently, yet confidently helps participants to refocus on the most essential matters in life?

Historical background
In a letter written to Brother and Sister Starr, April 11, 1900, Ellen White referred to her forthcoming book on education:

I want all our teachers and students to have this book as soon as they possibly can. I can hardly await the process of publication. I want the principles contained in this book to go everywhere. We must take a higher stand on education.

One wonders what made her so anxious to see this book in the hands of students and teachers. Ellen White began preparing the material around 1890. Most of the preparation was completed in Australia with the help of a literary assistant with a teaching and missionary background, Miss Sarah Peck. Her major task was to gather the counsels on education written by Ellen White in 1890 and before. However, she noticed that Ellen White’s counsels were divided into two main streams, those suitable for the Seventh-day Adventist church and those for the wider society. The counsels for the church were included in Testimonies Volume 6 (1900) and Counsels to Parents and Teachers (1913). The material included in the new book Education dealt with broader principles.

While Miss Peck compiled and sorted out the material written in previous years, Ellen White kept adding new thoughts. She wrote, “I have been reading some chapters of the book on education. Sister Peck has been gathering this matter from a mass of my writings.” She adds, “I have read three chapters this morning and I think the arrangement is excellent.” Early in 1900, William C. White wrote:

During the past two years, I think Mother has written more upon the principles of education, the importance of Bible study, and the importance of combining labour with study, and the value of agriculture as the ABC of all agricultural training, than in all the years before. I think she had written more largely upon it than on any other branch of our work.

Since Ellen White had already published a book on Christian education in 1884, one wonders why she was so eager to write and publish another book. I suggest that three key factors influence her keen interest in what she refers to as the higher view of education.
First, she aimed to refocus Adventist education on biblical principles. She counselled the editors of *Christian Education* (1899) to “attract the attention of their readers to the Book of books” and to focus on the principles that flow from the “greatest Teacher that the world ever knew.” She pointed out that such education is found in the Word of God.12

Second, her personal spiritual journey and search for God’s presence empowered her with Christ-like sensitivity, passion and concern for people’s welfare. In 1898, she wrote a letter to her son Edson in which she referred to some parents’ unkind attitudes towards their children. “Children are treated like dogs, ordered about, scolded and beaten and the children are educated in such a rough manner they can but be coarse and rough...Satan is pleased to have this work going on in families.”13 She counselled, “due respect must be given to children, for they are the Lord’s heritage.”14

Thirdly, before publishing the book *Education* in 1903, Ellen White sent copies of her manuscript to several readers asking for critical comments. Her son William sent some sections of the manuscript to Professor Sutherland, president of the Emmanuel Missionary College. His covering letter highlights a few interesting insights about the underlying theme of this book.

You will notice that since you saw the manuscript last a wide range has been taken out. More of the plan of redemption has been worked in by drawing from Mother’s published works, such as *Patriarchs and Prophets, Great Controversy, Desire of Ages, Mount of Blessings* and *Christ’s Object Lessons*. This required much labour but we hope that this book is sufficiently strengthened to compensate both for the labour and delay.15

The most important contributing factor for the urgency was the completion of her major work *The Desire of Ages* (1898) in which she enlarged the themes of God’s involvement in the human drama. She wrote, “In these days we hear much about ‘higher education’. The true ‘higher education’ is that imparted by Him ‘in whom are hid all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge’.”16 Her new book explored the principles of education in the context of the Great Controversy theme involving God’s plan of salvation and the view of education that will last through eternity.17 From this stance, she explored the dynamics of God’s purpose for life, namely the development of the spiritual, mental and physical faculties.

With this objective, she envisioned to revolutionise the ethos of Christian education. No wonder that she wrote, “The Bible contains all the principles that men need to understand in order to be fitted either for this life or for the life to come.”18 She explained, “We must receive a knowledge of God, the Creator, and of Christ the Redeemer, as they are revealed in the sacred word.”19

In all this, she was not setting prescriptive rules for education. Rather, recognising the freedom of human individuality with ‘power to think’ creatively, she highlighted the value of the principles relevant to life’s journey in all times. Knight points out that in, 1901 Ellen White wrote, “The Lord has not designed any one special, exact plan in education,” and further “no exact pattern can be given for the establishment of schools in new fields.”20

Avondale became the guinea pig of her experiments and the principles she explored in the book *Education* were to become a pattern for Seventh-day Adventist schools around the world. Under the umbrella of God-inspired thoughts, she wrote, “the school in Avondale is to be a pattern for other schools which shall be established among our people.”21 Can her voice and guidance be still relevant in the contemporary postmodern pace of life? What do we hear God saying?

**Relevance of the book *Education***

Time and space does not allow for an elaborate discussion of this topic. Nevertheless, allow me to share a succinct summary of what I consider as Ellen White’s two central themes and to explore their relevance for postmodern life. The first theme explores the purpose of education and the second theme touches on God’s purpose for life.

**The purpose of education**

The opening chapter of the book *Education* begins with an emphatic statement suggesting that, “True education means more than the perusal of a certain course of study.”22 Ellen White suggests it includes, a) a holistic view of human life (physical, mental and spiritual); b) a broader view of life. She claimed that education prepares the students for “the joy of service in this world and for the higher joy of wider service in the world to come.” Yet, I suggest that this is not the central point of her vision for education. Knight, notes correctly that for Ellen White, the purpose of education is to lead students to God and to a saving relationship with Jesus.23 White follows her opening thoughts with the statement that education which empowers students with the joy of service, both in this life and in the life to come, flows from the heart of God.24

It may appear that in taking such a view she disqualifies both intellectual and vocational preparation. I suggest, however, that she does not discount the pursuit of intellectual and professional goals. Rather, she simply recognises that because of sin, “man’s physical powers were weakened,” “his
The first objective of Christian education is to direct human minds to God's own revelation of Himself. This does not refer to excluding intellectual and philosophical gymnastics but to a foundational, relationally experiential knowledge of God. Is it possible to assume that the true nature and quality of what service in our contemporary world means should flow from the inspired thoughts, which gently bring into focus what matters to God? Is it possible to assume that the joy of service in this world should reflect the principles of the wider service that will last through eternity?

Is it possible to assume that the true nature and quality of what service in our contemporary world means should flow from the inspired thoughts, which gently bring into focus what matters to God? Is it possible to assume that the joy of service in this world should reflect the principles of the wider service that will last through eternity?

White links such preparation with the development of the physical, mental and spiritual faculties. The goal is specific. It is aimed, “for the stability and uplifting of the society.” For this purpose, the book Education unfolds the principles of what I define as an incarnational purpose of education.

This focus ties education with ministry and service. Loxburgh and Romaniuk define the incarnational view as ministry that allows people “to engage each other in the reality of their life situation” rather than “strategic plans from above.” Exploring the incarnational nature of Christ’s ministry, they write:

The narratives of Jesus’ presence among us start among the ordinariness of people’s lives. Jesus begins with their lived experience; he enters those experiences weaving God’s story through their lived lives. He draws people into a new imagination about the nature of the good news he incarnates.

In other words, the incarnation view of ministry enhances Christian education with the principles of transformational qualities.

As noted, the primary object of education includes a relational and personal knowledge of God (John 17:3). Further, recognising the Bible as the main source of God’s revelation, one “should gain knowledge of its grand central themes,” such as “the view of God’s original purpose for the world, the rise of the great controversy, and the work of redemption” (creation, salvation, eternity). However, intellectual understanding of God’s worldview requires practical application of the divine values and attitudes to life’s journey. She refers to the principles of “truth, obedience, honour, integrity and purity.” It demonstrates that God’s purpose for life has a transforming quality. In her view, service moves beyond the realm of activism. It has a deeper incarnational meaning. In fact, an intimate relationship with God leads to a reversal of human attitudes and it develops sensitivity to engage with students “in the reality of their situation.”

Ellen White wrote, “He who seeks to transform humanity must himself understand humanity.” Here, she upholds the example of educator par excellence, Jesus. In her view the service that provides a force for the stability and uplifting of the society, needs to reflect the depth of Christ-like attitude.
In every human being He (Christ) discerned infinite possibilities...Looking upon them with hope, He inspired hope. Meeting them with confidence, He inspired trust. Revealing in Himself man's true ideal, He awakened for its attainment, both desire and faith.¹⁴

For Ellen White, Christ’s methodology demonstrates the incarnational, life-inspiring principles and purpose of education. Firstly, He taught how to uplift human value and dignity. Secondly, He awakened new impulses and opened the possibility of a new life.¹⁵ In other words, through the principles of incarnational service, He helped individuals to discover their God-given uniqueness and inspired them to reach their highest potential. Thirdly, she points out that “it was not on the cross only that Christ sacrificed Himself for humanity” but His “every day experience was an outpouring of His life.”¹⁶

Such education needs to take place in the classroom, lecture halls, homes, churches, in the neighbourhood and in the world at large. She concludes the book with a vision of the wider service in the world to come. “It is in service, that our greatest joy and our highest education will be found—witnessing, and ever as we witness learning and discovering new mysteries.”¹⁷

I suggest the two highlighted themes, which form the heartbeat of Ellen White’s book Education, respond to the prevailing climate of the postmodern ethos. In that sense, the principles she espouses are relevant and timely for they speak to the moral vacuum of the society that yearns to be filled with a sense of meaning and purpose in life.⁸
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