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The notion of the First Australian Imperial Force (AIF) as God’s warriors has some currency in contemporary Christian circles. Evangelist Col Stringer, for example, has written a book championing the role of the Australian Light Horse in the story of the re-establishment of Israel as a nation, labelling them as ‘God’s History Makers,’ a volume that surprised many when it appeared at number 12 on an ABC television most popular books list poll in 2004. However, the notion is not entirely new. During the Great War itself, many commentators saw the conflict as an instrument in the hands of God. Prominent church leaders saw the war as an event that would improve the righteousness of the nation, allowing Christianity to counter the creeping secularism of Australian society and reassert itself at the head of political and
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moral leadership, a position it had once held in British society, for the betterment of everyone.3

The interaction of Australian religion and the Great War both at home and overseas has been fruitfully explored by scholars such as Michael McKernan, Robert D. Linder, Michael Gladwin, Colin Bale and the present author.4 While no church had developed a theology of war, the four major denominations (Anglican, Catholic, Presbyterian and Methodist) tended to view the war through a British-Christian lens, seeing the British Empire as the leading agent of God’s will and power on earth. Social Darwinism had so meshed with British Christianity that it was common to view Britain, its people and institutions – especially its Protestantism – as the pinnacle of human evolution, and therefore God’s chosen instrument in civilising the world. Thus, the victory of British arms would save civilisation from ‘Hunnish’ barbarism, be a victory for God and church, and permit British Christianity to continue its dominating mission of lifting lesser mortals, if not to the level of Britishers, then at least to a higher plane than that they had previously inhabited. This certainty was somewhat shaken by the stalemate and appalling casualties on the Western Front, leading to another emphasis emerging, that of the war as a punishment from God for the world’s evils and, where British casualties were concerned, as specific retribution for the spiritual failings of the British Empire, particularly with regard to secularism. There were those of course, more so among the smaller denominations but also present in the big four, who feared the war would corrupt the world, especially their own adherents, who would be exposed to the vices that especially terrified the Dissenter denominations: alcohol, swearing, sex and gambling. They also feared that wars were intrinsically selfish, therefore incapable of returning a result in the common good.5

The phrase ‘the common good’ had widespread currency during the Great War era. It was even a slogan for Warner’s Safe Cure, a popular cure-all medicine, effective against no less than rheumatism, neuralgia, sciatica, blood disorders, indigestion, jaundice, gravel, stone, anemia, gout, lumbago, back ache, biliousness, sick headache, general debility, and bladder troubles.6 Warner’s appropriation of the phrase is a useful metaphor for its use in society in general: an appeal to ‘the common good’ was standard rhetoric to justify diverse proposed solutions to no end of problems. For example, some of its uses included such causes as enhanced government power, anti-sectarianism, pacifism, imperial nationalism, ‘worldism’ which would replace parochial nationalism, and socialism, many of which
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are mutually incompatible goals. Hence, the notion of ‘the common good’ is hardly unproblematic, given the plasticity with which it was applied.

Unsurprisingly, the phrase was taken from broader usage and applied by leading figures in the major denominations to Christianity and the war, though what different people meant by ‘the common good’ in a religious context again could vary. It was most often associated with spiritual renewal, sacrifice, and national/international politics in speeches supporting the war effort. A Catholic newspaper reported a speech ‘pointing out the ennobling influence of war’ because of ‘its incentives to self-sacrifice and heroism.’ Of the AIF volunteers, the speaker opined, ‘The war spirit has made these men better Christians. Self-denial and devotion to the common good are Christian virtues. To defend your country and your weaker neighbors is to fulfill the commandment, “Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself.”’ The speaker went on to contrast this with Germany’s war spirit, labelling the latter as driven by modern atheism and pre-Christian paganism rather than Christian altruism. Catholic Archbishop Michael Kelly made several widely-reported speeches using the phrase ‘the common good,’ claiming that the war would bring ‘unity and brotherhood’ by revealing ‘how terribly destructive and fatal discord and strife are.’ He badged the concept as ‘good old fashioned Scriptural phraseology,’ as another way of saying ‘the Kingdom of God.’


8. ‘Christianity and the War,’ The Southern Cross, 5 February 1915, 14.


The Geelong Council of Churches used the phrase to declare their support for conscription during the first referendum as ‘the imperative duty of every Christian man and woman,’ while a Yarra Methodist minister also used it to justify conscription during the second referendum campaign, arguing that ‘our privileges have been gained for us by sacrifice of even life itself for the common good,’ and the Anglican Bishop of Tasmania similarly argued in favour of conscription for the common good. On the other hand, a letter to the editor argued against conscription on the same principle of the Christian common good, stating that Christianity should always respect minority opinions, or else become tyrants.

A returned soldier, in taking a local minister to task for his uncharitable comments about a fellow citizen, contrasted the clergyman with the Anzacs, noting that none had done more for the common good than Australia’s soldiers, who although they had ‘little or no pretense to religion, have become the embodiment of the fundamental principle of Christianity, viz., self-sacrificing for the common good. The man who looks death in the eyes, and, in the high sense of duty, brushes it aside as something unworthy to be considered, acquires a deep and true sense of religion.’ A radical British bishop hoped that the war would result in social, economic and political equity with ‘brotherhood and fellowship dominant over the principle of selfishness,’ while the newly-installed Moderator of the Australian Presbyterian Assembly hoped that an outcome of the war would be an international parliament working for the common
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good, as well as reviving churches which had become ‘too formal,’ with ‘worldly prosperity’ eclipsing ‘true religion.’

The Anzacs themselves naturally brought with them on enlistment the attitudes they had formed as civilians, but once in uniform they were subject to circumstances denied the churches at home, namely the experience of combat itself. This paper asks, how did Anzacs see their own role in the war with regard to the common good? Did the front line change the way they constructed their role as Christians, and the role of Christianity in society at large? Although the phrase ‘the common good’ is virtually never found in Anzac writings, the concept is implicit in many comments, blending together various facets which can be discerned through their diaries and letters. At the same time, their experience of the horrific casualties and lack of progress in the war also led to some questioning of God’s role in the war and whether their participation, or even the war itself, was in fact for the common good.

**Righteous warriors**

Many Anzacs saw themselves and their fellows as righteous warriors, or at least, warriors in a righteous cause. A devout Anglican captain, not limiting the righteous to merely the Australians or British, wrote, ‘it seems to me that the heavens are half opened, and that, even as did St John in the Apocalypse, our enchanted eyes look on those who were marked on the forehead servants of God, and who form one immense throng from all nations, tribes, peoples and tongues. They have fought the good fight, they will have the reward of valiant soldiers.... Forward Champions of Right, of Justice, of Liberty and of Christian Civilisation! By the King Eternal, Sovereign Master of events, they form an immense army of all nations, tribes, peoples and tongues.’
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people and tongues.’

Presbyterian Chaplain Ernest Merrington, leading an interdenominational communion service, wrote of the ‘feeling of sacred comradeship, not limited to earth, [which] swept over us. Those grimy and gory hands which lay open to receive the Bread were ennobled in this service by the Spirit of the Master.’ A Presbyterian sapper wrote that ‘as a living member of that sacred band of Crusaders, I shall regard it as a Heaven-sent privilege, for all time, to have been given the opportunity of serving with, to have once been able to write after my humble name, those glorious letters, emblazoned with success and history, A.I.F.’

A number of Anzacs positioned their work in terms of sacrifice, with some speaking of its redemptive powers. Others spoke in the related language of martyrdom, having a sanctifying effect on the land over which they fought. Roman Catholic Chaplain Thomas Mullins used this kind of language when he wrote of celebrating Mass for soldiers ‘who had poured forth the fullest measure of their lifeblood on the sands of Gallipoli.’ A Methodist Home Missionary labelled his enlistment as ‘a sacrifice on behalf of my country.’ Merrington wrote of soldiers in Egypt ‘feeling the thrill of their comrades’ noble sacrifices at the Dardanelles,’ writing that the Anzacs saved the land ‘made sacred by their brothers’ blood and their comrades’ graves.’ He spoke of soldiers ‘singing the great hymns of Christendom with full and resonant voices, which filled the valleys of the battle-field.'
with the glorious strains of the triumph of Divine Love and Life over sin and death." A Methodist whose family strongly opposed his enlistment finally signed up after a long spiritual struggle, weighing the arguments each way, declaring to his mother that his conscience led him to enlist, fighting for the right, despite the ambiguities of biblical references about the use of violence.  

Combative Anglican theologian Everard Diggles La Touche, who served as an officer at Gallipoli, was convinced of the positive role of the Anzacs in fulfilling the will of God:

‘Meanwhile we go through to take their places and to secure that they have not died in vain “whose faith follow considering the end of their conversation, Jesus Christ, the same yesterday and today and forever”’. ... It is in some ways a solemn thing to be going to the Front, where so many have done their duty even unto death, but the joy and anticipation of being with Christ makes the peril to life almost delightful... each do our duty in the sight of God and Man if Christ’s flag is to be kept flying in European life. The Lord cover our heads in the day of battle and teach our hands to war and our fingers to fight.’

He was as good as his word, lasting a single day at Lone Pine on 6 August 1915, when he refused treatment for his wounds in case it should block movement in the crowded captured Turkish trench.  

Some saw their faith as empowering them to more effective military service. The priest who officiated at the funeral of Lieutenant Colonel

Ignatius Norris credited the colonel’s faith with providing the kind of comfort that permitted him to execute his command with calm assurance.  

Some soldiers saw their contribution to the common good to apply not so much to their actions against the enemy but as a force of righteousness among their less virtuous fellow Anzacs. Encouraged to enlist by a friend because he was reliable, a non-drinker and non-smoker, one man joined because, 'as a Christian should put self and own interests last,' he felt that he 'might be used of God even in such a game as this' as his Christian influence would provide valuable leavening in the Army where such influences were 'all too scarce.'

Much the same was said about stretcher-bearer Ronald Pittendrigh, a Methodist minister who died of his wounds alongside Chaplain Andrew Gillison after a failed attempt to rescue a wounded man in No-Man's-Land on Gallipoli. A Methodist journal recalled, 'One of the motives which moved him was the conviction that he might be of some service to his fellow soldiers by living among them, sharing their hardships and dangers, and showing what a Christian life was before their eyes, while, at the same time, seizing every opportunity of winning them for Christ.'

Similarly, a devoutly Christian young platoon commander killed at Fromelles was remembered for both his physical and moral courage. 'I am speaking very sincere words when I say that the men under his command would be better men as well as better soldiers because of his influence,' wrote a Y.M.C.A. official to his parents.

Prominent Anglo-Catholic leader Canon David Garland mentored a band of chaplain-clergy and soldier-laity who saw their contribution to Anzac righteousness more narrowly, as upholding sacramental Anglo-Catholicism against the invading tide of tainted German dissenting 'protestantism,' [sic] and the vices of sex and alcohol among their fellow soldiers.

**God on our side in a righteous cause**

The notion of 'God on our side' was common to many forces in the Great War, not least the Prussian forces within the German army, whose belt buckles carried the phrase 'Gott Mit Uns' (God with Us). Many members of the AIF found the belief that God was on the Allied side empowering. One soldier attributed his own military prowess to a Greater Power guiding him, feeling that those who fought for their religion were usually hard to defeat.

Another faced 'great opposition' at home to enlisting but honestly looked forward 'to taking a further active part in the war until it has a glorious conclusion with the help of God and His blessing.'

A devout officer wrote home with confidence, 'I read the [newspaper] cutting about the righteousness of war with great interest. You know, dearies, that were it not for the fact that I know He is on the side of the Allies I would not be able to fight... and it is because I know He is fighting for us that I feel He will bless me and guide me in my hour of trial.'

Writing to Garland, a soldier enthused, 'You can scarcely imagine how glad I am to have the honour of being able to assist our boys in striking a blow for our Empire, in a cause I feel that God approves.'

---
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Soldiers expressed their sense of God being on their side by speaking of the righteousness of the Allied participation in the conflict, though sometimes this carried with it an awareness of the ambiguity of the evidence, given the lack of Allied progress. One soldier claimed spiritual discernment which allowed him to see what was otherwise obscured by circumstances. ‘We are now experiencing one of the many periods of Divine silence,’ he wrote. ‘Evil seems to be victorious, but the Christian is assured of the ultimate triumph of right over so-called might. The natural man cannot understand these things; they are foolishness with him; they are spiritually discerned. What the microscope is to the scientist faith is to the Christian.’ Another man blamed ‘selfish and grasping’ men for the war. His own participation was his contribution to righting the wrong, and restoring humanity’s ‘upward and onward’ spiritual progress. ‘Will the world be better if the Allies win this war?’ he asked rhetorically. ‘Yes if their peoples will serve the Living Christ in whom is the only source of true abiding happiness.’

A chaplain labelled the war as Armageddon, prompting an officer to write, ‘However that is what we are here for, to take our share in the great struggle of the world for right and freedom.’ Another man found strength in moral certainty at a time when the German spring offensives of 1918 made it appear that the war had taken a dramatic turn for the worse. ‘Facing matters from a Christian viewpoint, overlooking what in civil life would well-nigh be called intolerable, and always trusting in the final victory of right over wrong, all helps a man to face the music.’

One soldier’s motivation was driven not by revenge but by ‘a smoldering resentment against the Demon that had brought this state of things about.’ He clung to a divine perspective which allowed him to resolve superficial tensions in the world’s situation. ‘To the ignorant or casual observer, all seems chaos, but behind it all lies the inflexible resolve for the regulation and order of the World’s greatest organisation [the British Empire], backed by the laws of God, the voice of Liberty, immutable Faith, and the demands of the world’s organised Christian Nations.’ Another protested the condemnation he received from a pacifist in his home church. ‘I may be biased, but it seems to me, that fighting for Right against Might, is a very practical form of Christianity.’

**God cleansing the earth and punishing evil**

Many Anzacs of faith hoped that the war would bring about a revival of Christianity and a new Christian Australia. The war was a God-driven means of purification. The first target of this purification was Imperial Germany, the principal scapegoat for Anzac anger against its alleged atrocities. A chaplain wrote of the ‘monstrous iniquity’ of Germany. An officer heard with approval a sermon condemning Germany over the sinking of the Lusitania which, he said, ‘has made me very keen to get to grips with those inhuman brutes. My greatest desire now is to do something to help wipe out such an infamous nation. I am sure that God will take a strong hand in the war and thoroughly punish Germany for this latest atrocity,’ he told his
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family. Another sermon helped him find an appropriate attitude to accompany his desire for punishment for Germany. Hearing the phrase, ‘I love the German so much that I have come out here to help thrash the offending Adam out of him,’ he added, ‘I am trying to develop such a spirit. I do not want to go into battle with a hatred burning up all that is good. That sounds too much like vengeance. But if I can fight with the feeling of punishing them for the evil they have done I will be helping to “thrash the offending Adam” out of them.’ Unfortunately, he did not live to do so, being killed at his first battle at Fromelles in July 1916. Parenthetically, we might note that a German corporal present at the same battle utterly failed to have the offending Adam thrashed out of him, and went on to launch the holocaust of the Second World War in vengeance for the wrongs he perceived Germany had suffered in the First.

One man hoped to avenge a friend, and help to ‘blot out’ German actions in Belgium, Serbia and Gallipoli if God permits. Another soldier claimed to be ‘quite unConcerned’ about being killed, as he would simply end up in a happier world. Instead, his focus was that, ‘While I am in this world I must fight for justice and destroy the wicked even though I risk my life in doing so. I was born of this nature and it is impossible to do otherwise.’

Quoting an anti-pacifist poem, a soldier approved of its lines, ‘The cannon are God’s teachers/When times are ripe for war.’

confident that the lessons learnt during this war will last, and have a refining influence on the world, so that, after all the pain and anxiety, people will love more and live better than they could have done had not this chastisement been brought on us,’ wrote another, with sadly misplaced optimism. A third asked, ‘Will the world be better if the Allies win this war? Yes if their peoples will serve the Living Christ in whom is the only source of true abiding happiness... God’s hand is in all of this, there is an All Powerful Will at work around us, and He rules for righteousness and freedom. Woe to those who work iniquity, for their path is the path of destruction. Another concurred, arguing that, ‘This war is chastening the earth and is perhaps God’s judgement on us for drifting into idle and careless ways.’

This chastening and cleansing was accomplished not simply by the destruction of those idle and careless people. Ironically, it could also take place through the death of the righteous. A chaplain characterised the death of a young officer to his parents as having died for the common good. ‘He indeed has offered his life as a sacrifice in order to purify a corrupt nation.’ However, the death of godly soldiers caused some soul-searching among other godly survivors. How could the death of such obviously upright men be for the common good? One man wrestled with the death in action of his gifted, believing cousin, before finally enlisting himself. Another wrote sadly of his best friend, ‘Goodbye Bert. You were one of the real good sort. Your character and moral temperament were an example to us all. Your life was given for a righteous cause. May God comfort your own dear
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ones in the hour of darkness and distress. May they realise that you
died fighting in freedom's cause. You lived for the right and you died
upholding justice and purity, the lovely grace which the Hun would
besmirch. A soldier, struggling with why one of the best should die
when others he knew were false, wrote to the grieving parents of his
friend, 'It is hard that poor old Will should go, and others stay, but
God moves in mysterious ways.' One was sobered by the realization
that 'three of the best men in the unit' had died, members of a closely-
bonded Christian fellowship. He concluded, 'There is food for thought
and conjecture in that.'

Call to righteousness

One scholar has written that the churches' 'enthusiastic embrace
of the challenge of war derives in great part from churchmen's desire
for some event that would shake Australians from their indifference
and awaken them to the Christian realities.' Many soldiers would
have agreed with this. A chaplain who 'touched on the need of
unity, the high ideals we are fighting for,' found resonance with
one of his listeners. Another hungrily followed stories in the press
about religion in the trenches, 'searching for signs of an awakening
interest in the things that meant most to me.' While the reports were
contradictory, he got the sense that men at the front were praying
more. 'That was interesting and seemed to show that God must be

in the thick of things with them. Could it be that this was really
a crusade in which God was using our aims to purge the world of
international immorality?'

Another soldier framed the call to righteousness in imperial terms,
believing that they 'would emerge as an empire stronger and having
learnt the lesson of universal brotherhood all the world over, and
the principles laid down by the Greatest Teacher who ever trod the
earth.' A soldier recorded a night of heavy shelling that changed the
attitude of his friend. "Well Bill," said the friend, "Last night has put
the fear of God into me; after this, I am going, to be religious." The
soldier added, 'I wish some such shock could be spread over the world,
and show us how we are actually living; a lot of us would soon change
for the better.' Another recorded the prayer of a Congregationalist
minister who instead of praying for victory, asked that an unworthy
Britain might become worthy. Another applied the same standard
to Australia, noting the 'high' aims and objectives of the nation, then
asking, 'but in what way does the country morally live up to them.'
One man became tangled in argument with a fellow soldier over the
relationship between war, army and church after he insisted that
Australians 'were drifting too far from God. Souter said that it was
had to have too much church in the army, so we were pretty lively.'
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One worried that the lax moral state of his fellow soldiers would affect the outcome of the war. How can Britain expect to be the “King of Nations” when things are corrupt and rotten in its army?... The lessons this war is supposed to bring home to us has not borne fruit yet apparently. Approving of a chaplain’s sermon to the same effect, another wrote, ‘He told us plainly that our prayers for Divine help went for little indeed while so many acted as they were acting now. He said God will not prosper our cause if we do not attempt to deserve His favour.’

The doubters

As an all-volunteer force, self-selection tended to exclude from the AIF those men who did not see participation in the war in a positive light. One Anzac carried on a debate by mail with a friend, and other members of his home congregation, on the issue of the war. ‘He reckons that going to the war is not in keeping with Christianity,’ he wrote. ‘Poor old Jack. He is a much better Christian than I am, but I don’t think he was right in his arguments.’ Yet some Anzacs themselves voiced outright opposition to the association of God with the war. The son of a clergyman, who rejected his father’s faith, along with faith in governments and institutions, nevertheless argued ‘And yet I go to join in it, believing that the only hope for the salvation of the world is a speedy victory for the Allies.’ He did not need

Christianity in order to argue that his actions were for the common good. Another spiritually conflicted man who was also motivated by the common good was less persuaded by religious arguments. He was annoyed over a sermon which gave a ‘one-sided version of Britain’s righteousness in taking the part of the weaker nations in the present war,’ and prayers for Allied victory, given how morally tainted the Allies were, emphatically rejecting the status of religion in having any moral claims in the conflict. An active Christian, in discussion with a nurse during a hospital stay, rejected a theology of war as divine judgment and purification, stating, ‘She is a bit religious, too, and stated she thought the war was due to the sins in the world. Did I argue?’

The effectiveness of the war as a spiritual shaper

The hopes of so many Christians that the war would prove to be the catalyst for national spiritual revival were sadly dashed. Indeed, war appears to have had little net spiritual impact on even just the AIF, who were most subjected to its impact. While action led some soldiers to reconsider things eternal, on the whole its effect was at best neutral, if not negative. There is evidence of substantial attendance at religious services prior to battles, especially the first battles, and optimistic reports declared that the Anzacs were turning to God. An Anglican bishop chaplain wrote from France of ‘a great stirring of the life of the Church and a passionate desire especially among the younger men for reform and reconstruction,’ predicting ‘revolutionary
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changes,' while another chaplain claimed ‘that the war had not shaken soldiers' faith in God, but had rather increased it.’

The testimony of individual soldiers shows that combat did have this effect on some. One wrote, ‘When one is in the line, and the shells are falling close to us, it makes one think about our Heavenly Father. Why one can’t help but believe in God after going through one or [indecipherable] scraps and never knowing whose going up next,’ said another, while the sight of a body torn apart in battle shocked a third into turning to prayer.

While individuals experienced a move towards God, many others found the effect to be the opposite. A more realistic chaplain than those quoted above recognized that soldiering was ‘not by any means the most regenerating force, concluding that ‘militarism has no ethical value.’ A couple of soldiers wrote extended analyses of the relationship between the war and faith, one concluding that the evidence was at best ‘hard to define,’ while the other was adamant that ‘war, instead of helping to raise the people to higher moral principles, had a damning influence.’ A number wrote of the damaging effect the war had on their faith, labelling Christianity as ‘a hollow mockery,’ ‘a disgrace to Christianity,’ and ‘a farce.’ The memoirs of a light

horseman offered extended philosophical reflection, tracing his journey of ‘slowly sinking into a morass of uncertainty and doubt’ about Christianity as a result of the war. One soldier described his spiritual state after the experience of war as being ‘a complete agnostic,’ while another twice bitterly described God as laughing sarcastically in the face of the war’s horrors. Another insisted that, ‘contrary to what we all anticipated, the imminence of death and uncertainty of life on active service does not make men think more of religion and its consolations.... Military service does not tend to make a man more religious but on the contrary makes many forget the influences under which they lived their former ideals and has in an alarming number of cases an undermining influence on their morals.’ Based on the evidence, it appears that the war was counterproductive in expanding Christianity’s role in achieving the common good.

Conclusion

There is no doubt that many believing Anzacs saw themselves as contributing to the common good through their participation in the war. Their first contribution was through combating the evil of Hunnish aggression, which was portrayed as a pagan assault on civilized European Christian society. Even the death in battle of righteous Anzacs could be constructed as a contribution to the common good, a sacrifice, implicitly akin to the sacrifice of the innocent Christ on the cross, helping to bring peace to a world that had neglected God. Secondly, Christian Anzacs saw themselves as
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a leavening influence for good on the mass of Anzacs who were not committed Christians. In this regard, they did not differ greatly from the expressed opinions of church leaders at home.

Similarly, some Anzac diaries and letters held, in common with church leaders in Australia, the view of the war as God’s refining fire to purge the world of its lax spiritual state and a call to national righteousness. But, ground down by the realities of war, other Christian Anzacs also recognized that the simple association of war as God’s instrument in achieving righteousness was not sustainable. This was increasingly evident in the impact of the war on their fellow Anzac non-believers, who showed little sign of collective movement to active Christianity propelled by their wartime experiences, although some noted the paradox of secular men exhibiting the highest Christian virtues of self-sacrificing love for their fellows.78

There can be no doubt that the experience of the horrors of the trenches sharpened the focus of the Anzacs, but it did not produce an equally unified understanding of the meaning of their participation in the war with reference to the common good. At one end of the spectrum of responses were those who still saw the war in binary spiritual terms, a crusade against Hunnish barbarity, a war to save civilization – which was synonymous with Christianity and with Britishness – and for whom the Anzacs were shining knights, ‘the sacred band of Crusaders.’ Then there were various shades of negotiation with one or more of those concepts. The Hun was perhaps not the blackest shade of evil, maybe the Anzacs were not that shiny, nor were the three facets of British Christian civilization necessarily un tarnished. Further along were those who refused to glorify either the Allied cause or Christianity. There were also those who were happy to glorify the Anzacs, but strictly in secular Australian terms, shorn of their ill-fitting Christian and/or Imperial garb. And finally, there were those who saw the war as producing only evil outcomes – a betrayal by existing political, religious and social institutions unmasked by the senseless slaughter they had created and championed, an old order which needed to be razed, replaced by a new society free of class, politics and religion. The post-war legacy of Anzac was to be disputed between these various factions, the Imperial triumphalists, the left-wing nationalist republicans and those who experienced the war as disillusionment with the Nineteenth Century narrative of the march of human progress. The Imperial triumphalists won the battle for the Anzac legacy, but Christianity came to be disassociated from the victory, leaving the impression of an exclusively secular Anzac legend from which Christianity has largely been written out. Yet the fact remains that for many Anzacs, their motivation for fighting was based on a notion of the common good, of which Christianity was an intrinsic, though often tacit, element of the narrative.